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ABSTRACT

Background: Despite decades of research aimed at prevention, preterm birth remains an enormous leading cause of infant mortality in the United States and worldwide. Of concern, racial disparities in preterm birth remain an intractable public health issue. In an effort to reduce preterm birth, organizations such as the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) released policy statements in 2009 aimed at reducing early elective deliveries. Subsequently, the incidence of preterm birth in the United States has decreased, but whether this decrease is due to a reduction in iatrogenic or “medically-indicated” preterm birth is unknown. Further, the effect of the reduction in early elective deliveries on racial disparities is unknown. Our hypotheses were that 1) after 2009, preterm births would be less likely to be medically-indicated than due to spontaneous causes and 2) black-white differences in preterm births would be unchanged.

Objectives: 1) Determine the proportion of preterm deliveries at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) from 2004-2013 that were medically-indicated versus spontaneous. 2) Due to persistent disparities, determine if shifts in type of preterm delivery varied by race/ethnicity.
Methods: We reviewed the first 87 deliveries in 2013 and randomly selected 15% of the records for each year from 2004-2013. Additionally, we reviewed 69 charts to oversample black women’s deliveries. We manually abstracted data from BIDMC’s online medical record and designated each delivery as either medically-induced (preeclampsia, poor fetal growth, hypertension, or other fetal/maternal condition) or spontaneous (preterm labor, preterm premature rupture of membranes or cervical incompetence). Two reviewers independently reviewed 18 records for concordance of medically-induced versus spontaneous preterm birth typing. If the first reviewer could not phenotype the delivery, then a neonatologist and obstetrician were consulted. We reviewed 971 out of the 5,566 preterm deliveries and included 930 that were confirmed preterm and had a clear medically-induced or spontaneous phenotype. We dichotomized the time period into early (2004-2009) and late (2010-2013). Statistical methods included comparisons of early versus late using Chi-Square tests, logistic regression models to adjust for potential confounding variables, and stratified analyses (Singletons and black versus white).

Results: There were 46,981 deliveries at our institution during the study period, 5,566 of which were preterm. Among the 930 preterm deliveries sampled from the 10-year period, 45.6% were medically-induced with a non-significant, subtle difference between the early (48.3%) and late (41.9%) (P=0.05) time periods. The odds ratios of medically-induced versus spontaneous preterm birth in late versus early were 0.77 (P=0.05) and 0.73 (P=0.03) for all participants, unadjusted and adjusted, respectively. While not
statistically significant, a higher proportion of preterm deliveries among black women were medically-indicated in the early (50.4%) versus late (40.6%) periods \((P=0.19)\). There was a similar trend among white women between the early (50.0%) and late (46.9%) periods \((P=0.48)\). The odds ratios of medically-induced versus spontaneous preterm birth from late versus early were 0.67 \((P=0.19)\) and 0.63 \((P=0.14)\) for black participants, unadjusted and adjusted, respectively. For white participants, the odds ratios were 0.88 \((P=0.48)\) for unadjusted and 0.80 for adjusted \((P=0.20)\). Overall at BIDMC, the preterm delivery rate was significantly higher in the early period (12.3%) compared to the later period (11.2%) \((P=0.0003)\). While we observed a reduction of preterm birth among all women, black women experienced a 20.8% decrease (from 16.2% in the early period to 12.8% in the late) in preterm birth, while white women experienced just a 4.9% decrease (from 12.4% to 11.7%), resulting in a narrowing of the racial disparity of preterm birth in our institution.

**Conclusion:** At a Massachusetts birth hospital we found a reduction in the incidence of preterm deliveries over a 10-year period that coincided with policy efforts to reduce early elective deliveries. There was a reduction in the proportion of preterm births that were medically-indicated from 48.3% to 41.9%. The reduction in medically-indicated preterm birth was most evident among black women at BIDMC with concurrent decrease in the overall preterm birth rate among black women resulting in a near elimination of the racial disparity in preterm birth at BIDMC. Future work includes statistical analysis to account for the oversampling of deliveries in 2013 as well as oversampling of black women’s
deliveries using inverse probability weighting. We also plan to analyze which underlying conditions (preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction, fetal distress, etc.) were responsible for the reduction of the medically-induced deliveries.
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INTRODUCTION

Preterm birth is the leading cause of infant mortality worldwide. Approximately 11% of infants in the United States are born preterm (Martin, Hamilton, Osterman, Curtin, & Mathews, 2013), and those who survive not only face significant morbidity, but also burdensome financial and emotional costs to their families and the greater society. Despite medical and technological advances in the past 30 years, preterm birth is still a significant public health problem today (Kistka et al., 2007). The World Health Organization defines preterm birth as any live birth between 20 and 37 completed weeks of gestation since the first day of a woman’s last menstrual period. Preterm birth is currently the second leading cause of mortality in children under 5 years of age and the single most important direct cause of mortality in a child’s first month of life (Howson, Kinney, McDougall, & Lawn, 2013). Of the 130 million infants born annually worldwide, about 15 million are born preterm and 1 million of them die as a direct result of their prematurity. Researchers are able to trace only half of these deaths to identifiable causes (Howson et al., 2013; Muglia & Katz, 2010).

In addition to mortality, preterm birth also generates significant long-term morbidities including medical fragility and developmental abnormalities. In the Global Burden of Disease, preterm birth constitutes about 3% of all Disability Adjusted Life Years (DALYs), more than for HIV and malaria (Howson et al., 2013). In other words, preterm birth has a greater number of healthy years lost due to disability. Furthermore, according to Howson et al. (2013), “the annual societal economic cost in 2005 (medical,
educational and lost productivity combined) associated with preterm birth in the United States was at least $26.2 billion. During that same year, the average first-year medical costs, including both inpatient and outpatient care, were about 10 times greater for preterm ($32,325) than for term infants ($3,325). The average length of stay was nine times as long for a preterm newborn (13 days), compared with a baby born at term (1.5 days).” Furthermore, even once discharged from the neonatal intensive care unit, preterm infants are at higher risk for readmission (Escobar et al., 2006) than their counterparts. Perhaps most importantly, preterm infants who survive are at higher risk than their full term counterparts for developmental delays, behavioral disorders (Huddy, Johnson, & Hope, 2001; Saigal & Doyle, 2008) and for requiring special education (Simms, Cragg, Gilmore, Marlow, & Johnson, 2013).

**Epidemiology and pathophysiology of preterm birth**

Preterm birth affects both developed and developing countries. In the United States, preterm birth rates increased by more than one-third between 1981 and 2006, but the rate has decreased ever since from 12.8% at the peak in 2006 to 11.4% in 2013 (an 11% decrease) (Child Trends Databank; Martin et al., 2013) (Figure 1). This downward trend in preterm birth was also seen locally in Massachusetts, from 9.2% in 2004 to 8.8% in 2013 (Massachusetts Department of Health, 2004-2013) (Figure 2). On a global level, there is a disparity between high and low-income countries’ survival rates for extremely preterm babies. Blencowe et al. (2012) assessed preterm birth data in 2010 from 184
Figure 1. National preterm birth rates, 1990-2013. The overall preterm birth rate in the United States increased from 1990 with a peak at 12.8% in 2006. There has been a steady decline ever since for overall preterm birth, as well as for late preterm and singleton births only. (Figure downloaded from Child Trends Databank at http://www.childtrends.org/?indicators=preterm-births).
Figure 2. National and Massachusetts preterm birth, 2004-2013. There has been a downward trend in preterm birth from 2004 to 2013, from 12.5% to 11.4% at the national level and 9.2% to 8.8% at the state level. (Figure adapted from Massachusetts Department of Health, 2004-2013).
countries and observed that in high-income countries, more than 90% of babies born extremely preterm survived, while in low-income countries, only 10% of these babies survived.

Preterm birth can be separated into four categories based on gestational age: extremely preterm (less than 28 completed weeks), very preterm (28 to less than 32 weeks), moderately preterm (32 to less than 34 weeks), or late preterm (34 to less than 37 weeks) (Blencowe et al., 2012). Extremely premature births compose about 5% of preterm births, very premature about 10%, moderately premature about 15%, and late preterm about 70% (Shapiro-Mendoza & Lackritz, 2012).

Preterm birth arises from several pathophysiologic states that for the purposes of epidemiologic studies can be dichotomized into spontaneous causes or other diseases that lead to a decision to deliver an infant early (medically-indicated preterm birth). Spontaneous preterm births include deliveries resulting from spontaneous preterm labor (PTL) and preterm premature rupture of membranes (PPROM), and they account for about 50-80% of all preterm births (Savitz et al., 2005). “Preterm labor is usually defined as regular contractions accompanied by cervical change at less than 37 weeks’ gestation…PPROM is defined as spontaneous rupture of the membranes at less than 37 weeks’ gestation at least one hour before the onset of contractions” (Goldenberg, Culhane, Iams, & Romero, 2008). Medically-indicated births constitute about 20-50% (Savitz et al., 2005) of all preterm births and result in interventions for maternal or fetal disorders such as preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) or fetal distress (Goldenberg et al., 2008).
**Racial disparities and risk factors for preterm birth**

Preterm birth does not equally occur among all pregnant women. Black women have the highest rates, “in the range of 16-18%...compared with 5-9% for white women. Black women are also three to four times more likely to have a very early preterm birth than women from other racial or ethnic groups” (Goldenberg *et al.*, 2008). Although preterm birth rates in 2013 decreased from 2012 for non-Hispanic whites and non-Hispanic blacks, there is still a disparity between the races. The preterm birth rate for non-Hispanic whites decreased from 11.7% in 2006 to 10.2% in 2013, and the rate for non-Hispanic blacks declined from 18.5% in 2006 to 16.3% in 2013 (*Figure 3*) (Martin *et al.*, 2013). In terms of spontaneous preterm births, white women are more prone to preterm labor, while black women are more likely to have PPROM (Goldenberg *et al.*, 2008). More specifically, black women have a double to triple increased risk for PPROM than white women (Menon, 2008). Even after controlling for maternal medical and socioeconomic factors, the disparity in preterm birth rates between black and white women remains largely unchanged and unexplained (Goldenberg *et al.*, 2008; Kistka *et al.*, 2007).

The literature on preterm birth has increased exponentially in the past two decades, but the main causes of preterm birth are still largely unknown. According to Menon (2008), preterm birth is a “complex phenotype and is not initiated by a single etiologic agent.” However, there are maternal psychosocial and physiological risk factors that have been shown to be associated with preterm birth.
Figure 3. Preterm birth by race/ethnicity, 2004-2013. Although preterm birth rates have steadily decreased since 2006, there is still a wide and consistent disparity between Non-Hispanic black and Non-Hispanic white women. (Figure adapted from Martin et al., 2013).
Psychosocial stress before and during pregnancy contributes to the risk for preterm birth. For instance, living in neighborhoods with high poverty and segregation has been shown to be associated with preterm birth, even after adjusting for individual socioeconomic status and health behaviors (Kramer, Hogue, Dunlop, & Menon, 2011). Furthermore, experience with discrimination increases the risk for preterm birth, with odds ratios of 2.0 or greater for black women without a high school education reporting discrimination (Kramer et al., 2011).

Among immigrant groups, the greater the amount of time spent living in the United States, the higher the preterm birth rate (Goldenberg et al., 2008; Kramer et al., 2011). Howard, Marshall, Kaufman, & Savitz (2006) found that in New York City, there was substantial heterogeneity in the black population in terms of preterm birth and low birth weight, with United States-born black women at higher risk than foreign-born mothers. Furthermore, the landmark study by David and Collins in 1997 demonstrated that the risk of low birth weight (which incompletely overlaps with preterm birth) among African-born black women was closer to the risk among white women, but was much higher among United States-born black women. Since African-born black women were more likely to be genetically distinct from white women than from United States-born black women, this study provided evidence that the risk of preterm birth was less likely to be due to genetic differences and more likely to be social and environmental (David & Collins, 1997).

Physiological risk factors for preterm birth include short interpregnancy intervals, multiple gestations, infections, short cervical length, and maternal history of preterm birth.
(Blencowe et al., 2012; Goldenberg et al., 2008). After adjusting for confounding variables, an interpregnancy interval of less than 6 months yields more than a two-fold increased risk of preterm birth. Multiple gestations constitute only about 2-3% of infants, but account for 15-20% of all preterm births (Goldenberg et al., 2008). Infections such as “urinary tract infections, malaria, bacterial vaginosis, HIV and syphilis are all associated with increased risk of preterm birth” (Blencowe et al., 2013). Intrauterine infection and inflammation can lead to cervical insufficiency, triggering early labor and delivery (Blencowe et al., 2013). Cervical shortening can be preceded by infection, but this is not always the case. Short cervical length (defined as less than 25 mm) and maternal history of preterm birth are the strongest indicators for a woman’s risk of delivering early (Goldenberg et al., 2008; Kramer et al., 2011). According to Kramer et al. (2011), “women whose first birth was preterm had 3.8 times the odds of a preterm birth as those whose first birth was term” and “women who were themselves born preterm have higher risk of delivering a preterm infant.” Other physiological risk factors include young or advanced maternal age, low maternal body-mass index, pre-existing non-communicable disease, and hypertensive disease of pregnancy (Blencowe et al., 2012).

**Interventions for spontaneous preterm birth**

The obstetric community developed practices to prevent spontaneous preterm birth in women affected by short cervical length and prior preterm birth. The effective interventions in the current literature for women with short cervical length and prior spontaneous preterm births include 1) 17 alpha-hydroxyprogesterone caproate (17P) and
2) cervical cerclage (Orzechowski, Boelig, Baxter, & Berghella, 2014; Schoen, Tabbah, Iams, Caughey, & Berghella, 2014). 17P is a natural progesterone conjugate given intramuscularly once a week or as a vaginal suppository, and cervical cerclage is the “surgical placement of a suture or tape around the cervix in an attempt to prevent dilatation and subsequent preterm birth (Newnham et al., 2014). It is estimated that between 10,000 and 23,000 preterm births would be prevented annually in the United States with these interventions, respectively (Schoen et al., 2014).

However, for women with no prior preterm birth, “vaginal progesterone has been shown to reduce the incidence of spontaneous preterm birth at less than 33-34 weeks of gestation by approximately 45% in women with short cervix observed on transvaginal ultrasound with singleton pregnancies before 24 weeks of gestation” (Orzechowski et al., 2014). The Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM) and the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) do not require universal transvaginal ultrasonogram cervical length screening, but they state it can be considered as a preterm birth prevention strategy (Orzechowski et al., 2014).

Other obstetric interventions to reduce the morbidity and mortality of spontaneous preterm birth include regionalized perinatal care to ensure well-coordinated tertiary care referrals, treatment with tocolytic agents to slow down labor, antenatal corticosteroids to speed up the baby’s lung development, antibiotics to prevent infection, and optimum timing of indicated preterm birth (Iams, Romero, Culhane, & Goldenberg, 2008). However, results of studies of the effectiveness of tocolytics for spontaneous preterm birth are inconclusive and primarily used with the purpose of prolonging pregnancy by 48
hours prior in order to allow corticosteroids to take effect. Antibiotics are often reserved PPROM and any concern for chorioamnionitis or group-B streptococcal prophylaxis (Muglia & Katz, 2010; Smith, Devane, Begley, Clarke, & Higgins, 2009).

**Public policies and campaigns to reduce medically-indicated preterm birth**

The increase in preterm birth rates can be partially explained by the increase in medically-indicated preterm birth, leading researchers to figure out why. Goldenberg and colleagues (2008) found that medically-indicated rates increased almost 50% within a decade (Figure 4). In a study on the trends of preterm birth rates among black and white women from 1989 to 1997 in the United States, Demissie et al. (2001) observed that the greatest factors associated with increased preterm birth in both races were preterm induction of labor and preterm cesarean delivery. In another study looking at 2003 to 2007 data, more than half of all medically-indicated late preterm births were carried out in absence of a strong medical indication (Gyamfi-Bannerman, Fuchs, Young, & Hoffman, 2011).

Concerned about these practices, the March of Dimes Foundation, a non-profit organization, launched a national campaign in 2007 called “Healthy Babies are Worth the Wait” to educate the public on the importance of preventing non-indicated inductions and cesareans (Shapiro-Mendoza & Lackritz, 2012). Similarly, ACOG released recommendations in 1999 and again in 2009 stating that induced or cesarean deliveries less than 39 weeks’ gestation should only be performed with valid medical and obstetric indications. More recently in 2013, ACOG and SMFM recommended the cessation of
Figure 4. Change in spontaneous and medically-induced preterm birth rates relative to 1989. While spontaneous preterm birth rates decreased from 1989, medically-induced rates increased almost 50% within a decade. (Figure adapted from Goldenberg et al., 2008).
non-indicated deliveries at less than 39 weeks’ gestation (Schoen et al., 2014). These public policies and national campaigns may have had some modest success as “the cesarean delivery rate declined to 32.7% of U.S. births in 2013, down from 32.8% for 2010-2012. The rate peaked in 2009 at 32.9% after increasing every year since 1996 (20.7%)” (Martin et al., 2013).

**Objectives**

In an effort to reduce preterm birth, organizations such as the March of Dimes, ACOG and SMFM launched national campaigns and released policy statements aimed at reducing elective early term (37-38 weeks) deliveries. Whether these policies have influenced the proportion of preterm deliveries that are spontaneous versus medically-indicated is unknown. Further, the effect of the reduction in elective early deliveries on racial disparities is unknown.

We hypothesized that these policies, as well as an increased awareness of complications among late preterm infants would lead to fewer medically-indicated preterm births and an unchanged racial disparity in preterm birth rates between black white women.

As a result, the objectives of this paper are the following:

1) To determine the proportion of preterm deliveries at BIDMC from 2004-2013 that were medically-indicated versus spontaneous

2) Due to persistent racial disparities, to determine if shifts in type of preterm delivery varied by race or ethnicity
METHODS

Institutional Review Board (IRB) process

We submitted our New Research Application (Title: Preterm birth data repository) to the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (BIDMC) Committee on Clinical Investigations (CCI)/Institutional Review Board on August 18, 2014. We aimed to create a repository and use the data collected for a retrospective cohort study. Given that both the repository and the study required no contact with participants, we submitted the application for Expedited Review (as opposed to review by the Full Board). We also sought a Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) Waiver of Authorization to use Protected Health Information (PHI) and to waive the informed consent process. The required documents were approved, and our protocol (#2014P-000244) was activated on September 2, 2014.

Definitions

We defined medically-indicated preterm birth as delivery due to preeclampsia, poor fetal growth, hypertension, or other fetal/maternal condition. We defined a delivery as spontaneous preterm birth if it followed preterm labor, preterm premature rupture of membranes or cervical incompetence.
Data collection

Eligible deliveries were accessed from BIDMC’s Birth Log, an electronic delivery database. We included women who delivered infants preterm (< 37 weeks’ completed gestation) from January 1, 2004 through December 31, 2013. We abstracted the medical record number, date of birth, birth weight, and gestational age of all eligible babies from BIDMC’s Birth Log. We reviewed the first 87 deliveries in 2013, and then calculated the time required to review at least 100 records per year for the 10-year period. We then randomly sampled 15% of the rest of 2013 and 15% of the records from 2004-2012. We additionally reviewed 69 charts to oversample black women due to small numbers of black women in the original sample.

In 2011 and 2012, our institution transitioned to a fully electronic medical record by eliminating paper outpatient medical records, using electronic documentation, and scanning paper medical records (starting from 2008) into the online medical record’s “Scanned Inpatient Records” (Feinbloom, 2012). We manually abstracted the following data from the medical records:

- Infant data
  - Number of gestation (singleton versus multiples)
  - Medical record number
  - Birth weight
  - Mode of delivery
  - Date of birth
  - Estimated due date
• Best obstetric gestational age at delivery
• Birth log gestational age at delivery
• Address (for later geocoding for another project)

• Maternal demographic and medical information
  • Medical record number
  • Race/ethnicity
  • Age at delivery
  • Insurance status
  • Gravidity
  • Parity
  • Short cervix (defined as less than 25 mm)
  • Use of assisted fertility/reproduction in this pregnancy
  • Progesterone administration
  • Receipt of a cerclage
  • Use of other preterm prevention devices (i.e. pessary)
  • Induction medications
  • Other induction devices

Data were collected and managed using Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) electronic data capture tools hosted at BIDMC (Harris et al., 2009). We created our preterm data repository on September 5, 2014. After finalizing the list of data to include, we moved the project into production on September 16, 2014. There were a
total of 11 revisions during production, and the final data collection tool was titled “MR Data Collection” (Figure 5). We created a total of 971 records in REDCap. Records were created for each delivery (as opposed to for each birth in the case of multiple gestations).

Two reviewers independently reviewed a random sample of 18 records for concordance for medically-indicated versus spontaneous preterm birth typing. After realizing 100% concordance, the first reviewer (MA) consulted the neonatologist (HB) when more than one reason for preterm delivery was stated (44 records). The neonatologist independently reviewed the chart, and if her opinion differed from the primary reviewer’s, the neonatologist’s determination was selected as the final reason. The obstetrician (SS) was consulted about 2 records for a more definite determination when the neonatologist was unsure.

We reviewed 971 preterm deliveries, excluded 30 that were not actually preterm (greater than 37 weeks’ gestation) or had no gestational age listed (Figure 6). We excluded another 11 because we were unable to determine whether the preterm birth was medically-indicated or spontaneous. Our final dataset included 930 confirmed preterm deliveries that were either medically-indicated or spontaneous.
Figure 5. Sample of blank record from REDCap. Data collected included infant data, maternal demographic and medical data, and outcome of delivery. Only infant information is shown here.
Figure 6. **Exclusion and inclusion criteria.** We reviewed 971 preterm deliveries, excluded 30 that were not actually preterm (> 37 weeks’ gestation) or had no gestational age, and excluded 11 with an unclear medically-indicated or spontaneous phenotype. Our final dataset included 930 confirmed preterm deliveries that were either medically-indicated or spontaneous.
**Statistical analyses**

We dichotomized the time period into *early* (2004-2009) and *late* (2010-2013) since 2009 was the year ACOG released their recommendations to reduce non-elective early term deliveries. We compared the early versus late periods using Chi-Square tests. Logistic regression models were used to adjust for potential confounding variables, such as maternal age, gestational age at delivery, singleton versus multiple gestations, race/ethnicity, parity, insurance status, and year of delivery. We also completed stratified analyses for singleton and black versus white preterm deliveries.
RESULTS

**Overall and singletons preterm at BIDMC, 2004-2013**

During the 10-year study period, 5,566/46,981 deliveries at BIDMC were preterm (11.8%). There was a significant reduction in overall preterm birth at our institution from 12.3% in the early period to 11.2% in the late period ($P=0.0003$). Among singletons, there was also a significant reduction in overall preterm birth from 10.0% in the early period to 9.0% in the late period ($P=0.0004$) ([Figure 7](#)). We analyzed for singletons because of known reductions in the incidence of multiple gestations during the past decade due to in vitro fertilization (IVF) practice changes. Since 2003, there has been an exponential increase of women electing to have a single embryo transfer, rather than multiple (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014) ([Figure 8](#)). Multiple gestations are more likely to deliver early, so these changes in IVF practices might have contributed to the decrease in preterm deliveries.

**Characteristics of BIDMC preterm deliveries**

Out of the 930 preterm deliveries we sampled at BIDMC from 2004 to 2013, there were 424 medically-indicated and 506 spontaneous. The following are characteristics of these deliveries ([Table 1](#)): 
Figure 7. Overall and singletons preterm at BIDMC, 2004-2013. There was a significant reduction in overall preterm birth at our institution from 12.3% in the early period to 11.2% in the late period. Among singletons, there was also a significant reduction in overall preterm birth from 10.0% in the early period to 9.0% in the late period.
Figure 8. In vitro fertilization practice changes, 2003-2012. Since 2003, there has been an exponential increase of women electing to have a single embryo transfer, rather than multiple (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2014)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Medically-indicated (n=424)</th>
<th>Spontaneous (n=506)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Row %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gestational age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30 weeks</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-31 weeks</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-34 weeks</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>47.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-36 weeks</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maternal age at delivery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25 years</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25- 34 years</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 34 years</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>53.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year of delivery</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2009</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2013</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>29.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>46.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other/unknown</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Insurance status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMO</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>44.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-pay/other/uninsured</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiparous</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of gestations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singleton</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*28 preterm deliveries could not be categorized based on data in medical record, two missing race/ethnicity, one missing parity.

Table 1. Characteristics of 930 preterm deliveries at BIDMC, 2004-2013
**Gestational age.** As gestational age increases, the proportion of preterm births that were medically-indicated deliveries increased as well. For deliveries less than 30 weeks’ gestation, there were 31.9% medically-indicated and 68.1% spontaneous. For deliveries between 30 weeks, 0 days and 31 weeks, 6 days gestation, there were 43.4% medically-indicated and 56.6% spontaneous. For deliveries between 32 0/7 and 33 6/7 weeks’ gestation, there were 49.0% medically-indicated and 51.0% spontaneous.

**Maternal age at delivery.** Older mothers were more likely to have medically-indicated deliveries, while younger mothers were more likely to have spontaneous deliveries. Mothers who gave birth younger than 25 years of age were 38.2% medically-indicated and 61.8% spontaneous. Between 25 and 34 years of age, 41.3% were medically-indicated and 58.7% were spontaneous. For mothers older than 34 years, 53.8% were medically-indicated and 46.2% were spontaneous.

**Year of delivery.** We characterized 2004 to 2009 as “early” and 2010 to 2013 as “late”. In the early period, there were 48.3% medically-indicated deliveries and 51.7% spontaneous. In the late period, there were 41.9% medically-indicated and 58.1% spontaneous. Therefore, we saw a reduction in medically-indicated deliveries and an increase in spontaneous deliveries over the past 10 years.

**Race/ethnicity.** Black and white women did not differ in the proportion of preterm births that were medically-indicated versus spontaneous preterm deliveries. For preterm
deliveries among black women, 46.8% were medically-indicated and 53.2% were spontaneous, while for white women, 48.7% were medically-indicated and 51.3% were spontaneous. Preterm deliveries among Asian women were less likely to be medically-indicated (29.9%) than spontaneous (70.1%). Preterm deliveries among Hispanic women were also less likely to be medically-indicated (38.6%) than spontaneous (61.4%)

**Insurance status.** The type of insurance was not associated with proportion of preterm births that were medically-indicated versus spontaneous. For preterm deliveries among women with private insurance, 45.4% were medically-indicated and 54.6% were spontaneous. For preterm births among women with health maintenance organization (HMO) insurance, 46.7% were medically-indicated and 53.3% were spontaneous. For preterm births among women with Medicaid or public insurance, 44.8% were medically-indicated and 55.2% were spontaneous. For preterm births among women who were self-pay, uninsured or had other insurance, 37.5% were medically-indicated and 62.5% were spontaneous.

**Parity.** Parity is defined as the number of deliveries greater than 20 weeks’ gestation. Our data included multiparous (delivered more than 1 child) and primiparous (delivered only the current delivery from our dataset) women. Parity was not associated with medically-indicated versus spontaneous preterm birth. For preterm births among multiparous women, 45.0% were medically-indicated and 55.0% were spontaneous. For
preterm births among primiparous women, 46.1% were medically-indicated and 53.9% were spontaneous.

**Number of gestations.** Singletons (one birth only) and multiples (more than one birth) had similar percentages of medically-induced and spontaneous preterm births. For preterm births among singletons, 46.4% were medically-induced and 53.6% were spontaneous. For preterm births among multiples, 44.4% were medically-induced and 55.6% were spontaneous.

**Medically-induced versus spontaneous preterm deliveries**

Visual inspection of the percentage of medically-induced preterm deliveries versus spontaneous preterm deliveries (n=930) (Figure 9) reveals a non-significant difference between early (48.3%) and late (41.9%) for medically-induced births ($P=0.05$). Multivariable logistic models revealed little evidence of confounding. When we adjusted for maternal age, gestational age at delivery, singleton versus multiple gestations, and maternal race/ethnicity, the adjusted odds of a medically-induced versus a spontaneous preterm delivery were lower in the late versus early period (adjusted OR 0.73, 95% CI: 0.56, 0.96) (Table 2). Furthermore, adjustment for parity or insurance status did not change these estimates.
Figure 9. Medically-indicated versus spontaneous preterm birth for overall and singletons, comparing early versus late. In the early period for both overall and singletons, the percentages of medically-indicated and spontaneous preterm birth were roughly the same. In the late period, there was a difference, which was non-significant for overall, but significant for singletons.
Table 2. Odds of medically-indicated versus spontaneous from late period compared to early at BIDMC. Preterm birth was less likely to be medically-indicated in the late period compared to the early period. This was seen among all and singleton gestations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>All Participants (n=930)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0.77 (0.59, 1.00)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.73 (0.56, 0.96)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Singleton Gestations (n=534)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0.61 (0.43, 0.86)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.61 (0.43, 0.87)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Adjusted for maternal age, gestational age at delivery, singleton vs. multiple gestations, and race/ethnicity  
<sup>2</sup>Adjusted for maternal age, gestational age at delivery, and race/ethnicity
Medically-indicated versus spontaneous preterm singleton deliveries

Among the 534 singletons in our study, there was a significant reduction in the proportion of preterm births that were medically-indicated between the early (52.1%) and late (39.8%) periods ($P=0.005$) (Figure 9). Multivariable logistic models in this subset of singletons also revealed little evidence of confounding. When we adjusted for maternal age, gestational age at delivery, and maternal race/ethnicity, the adjusted odds of a medically-indicated versus a spontaneous preterm delivery were lower in the late versus early period (adjusted OR 0.61, 95% CI: 0.43, 0.87) (Table 2).

Black and white preterm deliveries at BIDMC, 2004 – 2013

During the 10-year study period at BIDMC among black women, 754/5,065 (14.9%) of deliveries were preterm versus just 3,136/25,827 (12.1%) among white women. In 2004, the preterm delivery rate was 15.6% for black women and 13.1% for white women (Figure 10). The highest rates of preterm birth at BIDMC were in 2007 with 18.1% for black women and 13.6% for white women. The rates steadily decreased to 12.1% for black women and 11.4% for white women in 2013.

Medically-indicated and spontaneous preterm birth among black and white

In our study there were 188 preterm deliveries among black women. We observed a non-significant reduction in the proportion of preterm deliveries among black women that were medically-indicated in the late (40.6%) versus early (50.4%) period ($P=0.19$). Among preterm deliveries for white women, we observed an even more subtle, non-
Figure 10. Reduction in preterm birth in both black and white women, 2004-2013.

In 2004, the preterm delivery rate was 15.6% for black women and 13.1% for white women (Figure 10). The rates steadily decreased to 12.1% for black women and 11.4% for white women in 2013.
significant reduction in medically-indicated preterm deliveries from 50.0% in the early period to 46.9% in the late period ($P=0.48$) (Figure 11). In models adjusting for maternal age, gestational age at delivery, and singleton versus multiple gestations, the odds of medically-indicated versus spontaneous preterm delivery were non-significantly lower in the late versus early periods for black (adjusted OR 0.63, 95% CI: 0.34, 1.16) and white (adjusted OR 0.80, 95% CI: 0.56, 1.16) women (Table 3). When comparing preterm deliveries among black versus white women, these results suggest that black women had more of a reduction in the risk of medically-indicated preterm birth in the late versus early periods, but a test for interaction was not significant ($P=0.49$).
Figure 11. Medically-indicated versus spontaneous preterm birth among black and white women, comparing early versus late. In the early period for both black and white women, the percentages of medically-indicated and spontaneous preterm birth were about the same. In the late period, there was a decrease in medically-indicated for both black and white women, but this was more noticeable in black women. Both reductions were non-significant.
Table 3. Odds of medically-indicated versus spontaneous among black and white participants from late period compared to early at BIDMC. Black women had more of a reduction in the risk of medically-indicated preterm birth in the late versus early periods, but these results were not significant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Odds Ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Black Participants (n=188)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0.67 (0.37, 1.22)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted(^3)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.34, 1.16)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White Participants (n=544)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted</td>
<td>0.88 (0.63, 1.24)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted(^3)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.56, 1.13)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^3\)Adjusted for maternal age, gestational age at delivery, and singleton vs. multiple gestations
DISCUSSION

Summary
The reduction in preterm birth at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center over the last 10 years is consistent with state and national data. The reduction in medically-indicated birth at BIDMC over the past 10 years was from 48.3% in the early period to 41.9% in the later period. This was most evident among black women with a concurrent decrease in overall preterm birth among black women.

Strengths and limitations
We performed a thorough retrospective chart review of the medical records. Data that we collected are usually unavailable at the state level and is difficult to obtain using automated techniques. Another strength is that two separate reviewers analyzed a subset of records and showed complete concordance.

A limitation of this study is that it was retrospective. Unmeasured factors may be responsible for the changes in preterm birth rate, resulting in residual confounding. Furthermore, preterm clinical subtypes (spontaneous and medically-indicated) remain heterogeneous categories, since they include several diagnoses.

Speculations
The reduction in medically-indicated preterm births could be contributing to the overall reduction in preterm birth. Black women may be benefitting from public health
interventions, thereby narrowing the racial disparity. We observed a narrowing of our racial disparity in preterm birth when we compare our results at BIDMC to those of Massachusetts (Figure 12). At BIDMC from 2004-2013, black women experienced a 20.8% decrease in preterm birth, while white women experienced a 4.9% decrease. In Massachusetts during the same 10 years, black women experienced a 10.6% decrease, while white women experienced a 5.8% decrease. Our data at BIDMC illustrates a greater narrowing of the racial gap, while the state level data still shows a consistent disparity between black and white women.

Next steps/future work

We plan to apply inverse probability weights as a statistical technique to account for the oversampling of deliveries in 2013 and of black women. We also plan to analyze the underlying conditions responsible for the reduction of the medically-indicated deliveries. We will ascertain which diagnosis (preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction, hypertension, or other fetal/maternal condition) contributed most to the medically-indicated delivery phenotype.
Figure 12. Comparison of BIDMC and Massachusetts racial disparity. The gap between black and white preterm deliveries has narrowed more so at BIDMC than Massachusetts overall.
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