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The past few decades have seen the opening up of new vistas in the field of sacred music. While the other fields of Russian expression in the arts had been making their presence known through the wave of Rachmaninov, Mussorgsky, Chausson, Stravinsky, Scriabin, Borodin, Mussorgsky, and Rimsky-Korsakov, the music written for the Russian church had been pretty much unknown outside Russia itself. A few Russian cathedrals scattered thinly in the larger cities of the Western world were aware of the existence of this body of sacred choral music is becoming known and being more used by the churches of the Western religions, primarily Protestantism and Catholicism.

It is the purpose of this paper to help in bringing this virtually untapped reservoir of sacred choral music to the attention of the administrators of church music in American Protestantism. The expanding publication of translated works from the almost unlimited supply of them makes this music increasingly more available, and the conscientious music director who makes use of such material will certainly reap his reward in
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the increased devotion and reverence of his worship service. However, there are certain obstacles to the performance of these works, and these will be treated also in the body of this paper.

A true understanding of any field of art can only be gained through a knowledge of its history, the place it occupies in the life of its people, and the men who produced it. For that reason this paper will also include a study of the development of the modern (nineteenth and twentieth centuries) Russian Church music along with a brief survey of the Russian Orthodox Church and its parent, the Greek Orthodox Church histories, a discussion of the church liturgies and services for which the music was, and is, written, and some notes on the outstanding composers in this field. Of course a large part of this study will be devoted to an analysis of representative works, with a discussion of the typical choral devices and harmonic treatments which characterize this music. Also included will be a list of some of the finer editions of some of these works that are now available in this country with translated texts.

I hope that this paper can help to bring this

* The only truly "Russian" church music.
unique choral music to the knowledge and understanding of discriminating church musicians, concertgoers and musical laymen, to enrich their musical experience and add to their comprehension of the variety of expression that men have used in adoration of their God.

Allen Giles
The music of the Eastern Orthodox Churches differs greatly from the music of our western churches, much more, in fact, than the basic artistic approach of the differing cultures could explain. The secular music of Russia, Greece, and the other countries falling under the orthodox aegis is much more similar to the secular music of western composers than is the case with church music of the same areas, even when comparing secular and sacred music in Russia by the same composer. Such a condition obviously reflects an approach to religion that differs materially from our own. In order to understand the manner in which the Russian layman regards his religion, and the way his religion regards him, we must look back to the very roots of Christianity. We must find the differences which separated the Eastern and Western Churches and have kept them separated for a thousand years; we must survey the fountains of Christianity in Russia, and Russia's usurping...
of Eastern Church leadership; and we must analyze the relationship of church and state and the development of the Russian state church, for such it had really been until the beginning of Bolshevism, since the abolition of the Patriarchate by Peter the Great in 1721. Our first consideration should be the events leading to the schism between the Eastern and Western Churches.

The earliest Christians of the newly established church of the first centuries A.D. could no more imagine the existence of more than one Christian Church than the existence of more than one God that they worshipped. The rivalry existed only between the Catholic Church and the unbelievers or heretics. The church was united under the Pope of Rome as first Patriarch, along with Patriarchs of Alexandria, Antioch, and Jerusalem.

330 A.D. saw the moving of the capital of the Roman Empire to Byzantium far to the eastward, which was renamed Constantinople after the Emperor Constantine. This reestablishment of the seat of government in the largest and most powerful nation of those times resulted in an ambivalent position in the church leadership. The Roman Pope elected to remain in Rome, the center of the western civilized world. Thus a new Patriarch was
established at Constantinople which rapidly grew to exceptional power through the position and support of the temporal rule. This bishop of Constantinople soon began to question the right of the Bishop of Rome (the Pope) to the position as head of the Church. Rivalry and jealousy between the two Patriarchs through hundreds of years is probably one of the chief reasons for the schism which eventually separated the Western and Eastern Churches.

Before the actual split between East and West there had been many other attempted breaks made by smaller groups within the Church. These were dealt with in ecumenical General Councils called by the Emperor of the Roman Empire. However, the Emperor could not interfere in matters of doctrine. The Pope's consent had to be obtained for each council; either he or his representatives had to preside; and the decrees of the Council had to have his approval.

"The Church accepted the collaboration but not the authority of the Emperor in formulating doctrine. The Councils implicitly affirmed that Christian doctrine is a subject for faith and not for reason. Each heresy condemned was a well-meaning though presumptuous attempt to offer an explanation of the Godhead conceivable for the human mind. In every case the Church replied by formulating a mystery to be believed by faith, but strictly speaking inconceivable and incomprehensible for our finite
intelligence."

Consideration of the seven General Councils in which the Eastern and Western Churches were as one should figure in an understanding of the problems faced.

The First General Council met in 325 A.D. to act on the Arian heresy which denied the divinity of Christ and His consubstantiality with the Father. This doctrine was condemned by the Council, and the Nicene Creed was at this time drawn up (the Council met at Nicaea) to demonstrate the validity of the Church’s assertion.

The Second Council in 381 condemned the Macedonian heresy which denied the personality of the Holy Ghost. As it convened in Constantinople, the Patriarchate there was influential, and an attempt was made by the Council to bestow the second rank in the Church hierarchy to Constantinople, the "new Rome". This move was stifled by the Pope, an indication of a rivalry to cause trouble in the future.

The Third Council in 431 condemned the Nestorian doctrine which denied the title of Mother of God to the Blessed Virgin. Nestorius based his doctrine on a belief that the Blessed Virgin was mother

\(^{(*)}\) Sir Charles Eliot, *Turkey in Europe.*
only to the physical man, Christ, and that the alliance with God was moral only. Unlike the previous heresies, however, this sect survived the anathema of the Catholic Church and still exists and proselytizes today in parts of Persia.

One of the most important Councils, the fourth, met in Chalcedon (opposite Constantinople in Asia Minor) in 451 to deal with a reaction to the Nestorian heresy, the doctrine of the Monophysites. In opposition to the Nestorian affirmation of a duality in the personality of Christ, the Monophysites "... confounded the two natures by teaching that the human nature was transformed or absorbed into the divine, and that after the incarnation Christ existed only in his divine nature." (2)

Followers of this heresy also survived the interdiction and anathema of the Church and established national churches in Egypt, Syria and Armenia. Also in this Chalcedonian Council the honorary rank of the Jerusalem Patriarchate was made real, and the powers of Constantinople was extended. "Although offshoots of the Church were gaining ground, the Holy Catholic Church united under the Pope of Rome and the Patriarchs of Constantinople, Alexandria, Antioch and Jerusalem still

(2) Maurice Baring, The Russian People, p. 327.
was the center of religion in the civilized world.

The Fifth Council in 553 was a further action against the followers of the Nestorian doctrine.

The Sixth Council condemned the Monothelite heresy in which it was declared that the Divine Will was the only operation and will expressed in Jesus Christ.

The seventh and last Council involving both East and West before the schism was held in the Church of St. Sophia in Nicaea in 787. The Iconoclasts, who denounced the use of images in churches as reversions to image worship, were condemned by the Council, and the condemnation was sanctioned by the Pope.

All during this time, the rivalry between Rome and Constantinople had been growing. At the same time that schismatic churches resulting from Catholic condemnation were becoming established, Islamic power was beginning to take over Egypt, Syria and Palestine, and Constantinople became the head of the Eastern Church as the only Patriarchate still retaining control in that area. Although the Pope's primacy was recognized by all the Christian world, the Pope's use of his power in the East was resented by Eastern Bishops. As the Popes were often overanxious to demonstrate their authority over these increasingly
rebellious underlings, friction and ill-feeling became more and more evident. In spite of this growing unrest, it was still beyond the comprehension of men that there might be more than one religion. All were either Christians or heretics, with a strong union always in the one main body of the Church. Such an attitude was a powerful deterrent to a split between the sections of the Church. In fact there are still factions of the Greek Church which regard the whole matter as just a misunderstanding and still under discussion. They consider reunion with Rome as a distant possibility yet. Many efforts have been made to heal the breach, but the fundamental differences remain and show no signs of clearing up.

The first definite break between East and West came in the ninth century. In 857 the government in Constantinople deposed the Patriarch Ignatius because he refused communion to the Regent Bardas because of his immorality, and replaced him with Photius, a learned soldier who was consecrated deacon, priest, and bishop on three successive days. The Pope in 863 tried to oust Photius, who thereupon turned the mixup into a test case for the East-West rivalry by accusing the Pope of heresy and excommunicating
all the West. His only point that mattered was a rejection of the Filioque clause in the Nicene Creed which in the Western Church had become virtually accepted through usage, although no pronouncement on the matter had been made by the Pope. This clause had been added expressly as a check to Arianism in Spain some time before. The whole disagreement rests on an extremely fine point of theology.

"The irony of history is nowhere more apparent than in the fact that the chief difference between the two great historic churches is so fine a point of doctrine that ordinary people could never guess its supposed importance. Nobody could pretend to decide it without penetrating into the profound mystery of the Being of God. Both churches accept the Nicene Creed as confirmed in the great Church Councils, ... Both are thoroughly Trinitarian. But while the Eastern Church maintains that the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father alone though through the Son, the Western Church contends that He proceeds from the Father and also from the Son as a joint source. Not only does the Greek Church object to the latter idea, it accuses the Latin Church of a wrong action in venturing to insert a word in the venerated Nicene Creed. The clause in the Latin version asserting the procession of the Holy Spirit originally ran: 'Qui ex Patre procedit'. The Roman Church now renders this clause: 'Qui ex Patre Filioque procedit'. The insertion of Filioque at this point in the creed became the chief ground of division between the two churches and it has remained so down to the present day without any hope of reconciliation, each community anathematising the other on account of the fine point of doctrine."

The four other points which Photius made were ridiculous,

trumped-up affairs, two of them being untrue.

These events, as can be easily imagined, brought about a crisis in East-West relations. Pope Nicholas I on an appeal from the friends of Ignatius convoked a synod at Rome which decided in favor of Ignatius and pronounced a sentence of excommunication on Photius to forestall his probable attempt to retain the Patriarchate of Constantinople. Photius replied with a declaration of his equality in rank with the Patriarch of Rome, and was supported by a council in Constantinople summoned by the Emperor which sentenced the Roman Pontiff to be deposed. The march of events brought an end to this stalemate more efficiently than man could do. The murder of the Emperor lost for Photius the only support in his precarious position, and he was imprisoned in a convent as Ignatius was restored to his position. Soon the situation reversed, however, as Ignatius died and Photius quietly again took over the Patriarchate. He spent the rest of his life in study at a monastery, to die in 891. Thus the feud was brought into open conflict which lasted a century and a half more before the final rupture.

The final break came in the years 1053-4. At that time Michael Cerularius, the ordained Patriarch
of Constantinople addressed an encyclical letter to the bishops of Apulia seeking a closer union between the Eastern and Western Churches, in which he discussed some of the problems between them, including the doctrine of the Procession of the Holy Ghost. Pope Leo IX heard of this letter and sent a scathing rebuke with directives to Michael, who refused to submit to them and closed all the Latin churches in Constantinople. Theupon a papal anathema was laid on the doctrines and practices of Michael and his supporters, and cursing them: "Let them be Anathema Maranatha, with Simoniacs, Valerians, Arians, Donatists, pelasaitans, Severians, Pneumatomachi, manichees, and "razarenes, and with all heretics; yea, with the devil and his angels. Amen. Amen. Amen." (July 16, A.D. 1054). So thus the mutual jealousy of the Eastern and Western branches of the Catholic Church resulted in a great schism between them that has continued to this day. "... The popular conscience of Eastern Europe must have felt that the Latins were essentially alien and hostile, otherwise no religion could have divided on such ridiculous pretexts."(4) Several attempts made by both East and West since the schism have resulted in failure because of the refusal of each to submit to the other.

(4) Sir Charles Eliot.
II

Christianity was introduced into Russia by missionaries from the Greek Church centering at Constantinople. Here are, however, few records of validity before the time of Rurik, a Norse chieftain who established a state at Kiev late in the ninth century which later expanded to become the Russian Empire. His son Igor who succeeded him was married to a woman named Olga, who was really the founder of Russian Christianity. After her husband's death and during her son Sviatoslaff's minority, she ruled the state and began the penetration of Christian doctrines into the ruling classes of Russia. While Sviatoslaff was not Christian, he never took part in any religious persecution, allowing open profession of Christianity among his people. Upon his death, Vladimir, his third son, took over the whole territory which had been divided among the sons and also added materially to it. He is also credited with being the moving force in the conversion of Russia, as he took Christianity unto himself and even made it the state religion, forcing his subjects to be converted also. Thus the model of unity between church and state was set right from the beginning.
in Russia. The date of the conversion of Vladimir is set at 988 A. D., which is also the year of his marriage to Anna, daughter of the Emperor Basil. This union was a two-fold blessing to Russia in its ties with Byzantine culture and commerce, and in the influence of the Christian woman, Anna, used in the Russian court for the advancing of her religion.

Through the following centuries, the nationalism of the Byzantine church transferred readily to the Church of Russia. While the Russian Church remained in nominal touch with Rome for almost a century after Michael Cerularius made the break, she finally sided with Michael, her own Patriarch. The geographical and national implications of the Roman-Greek rivalry again held, although of course the anathema of Pope Leo IX was directed solely against the person of Michael and his followers, not the Eastern Church as a whole.

Meanwhile the Russian child of orthodoxy grew mightily. Vladimir gave himself to a tremendous church-building program, and left his mark on the country for all time with the fine examples of strong Byzantine architecture which he had constructed. Not content with just church building, he also made provision for the training and placement of priests in the churches, for the establishment
of schools for educating the children of the nobility, or boyars as they were called, and for the penetration of missionaries farther into the interior of Russia. This tremendous advance was continued on the same lines by Yaroslaf, the eldest son of Vladimir, who managed to take over the whole state after Vladimir's unhappy decision to divide his land among his four sons. The church building and missionary work continued, and also schools were established at Kiev and Novgorod for the training of candidates for the clerical office.

The reigns of Vladimir and Yaroslaf saw the growth of a Church-State relationship that was to figure through the whole history of the Russian Church. In an edict issued in 993 at the completion of the first cathedral at Kiev, Vladimir presented a "Bill of Rights" in which he enumerated the powers of the Church clergy and judiciary, and added: "In all these cases the Church is to pass judgment; but the prince and his boyars and judges shall not take cognizance of such matters. These ecclesiastical privileges I have accorded to the holy bishops in compliance with the decisions of the Church and the seven oecumenical councils." Yaroslaf confirmed this charter and even went further to exempt the clergy quoted as translated from the edict, in. Muraviev, Hist. of Russia in the Greek and Eastern Churches, p. 365.
from civil duties and payments. This freedom, however, has an illusory quality which becomes evident on closer examination. While many privileges are granted to the men of the church including a form of self-government and immunity from civil interference in their affairs, none the less, the endowment came from the state; although bishops could appoint the inferior church officers in their dioceses, the bishop himself was appointed by the prince of the district; so that the ruling governor could keep his thumb securely on the personnel in the church and thereby control considerably the exercise of its powers. As Adeney says: "Everything depended on the degree of respect shown to the spirit as well as to the letter of this fundamental charter of the church." (4)

Early in the time of Vladimir, a Russian hierarchy of church rule was established under the metropolitan of Kiev, all administered by the Patriarchate of Constantinople. Under the metropolitan Leontius, dioceses were formed with bishops ruling them. The metropolitans were chosen by the Patriarch at Constantinople except in one case during a breach between the two of secular origin which was quickly healed.

The twelfth and thirteenth centuries saw troubled times in Russian history. Bickerings between noblemen

(4) Ibid., p. 366.
the princelings checked the progress of the nation as a whole. And the most reflected in the troubles of the time involved the Church, as in the case of the temporary schism between the metropolita of Moscow and the patriarch of Constantinople. However, these petty troubles were more than overshadowed by the advent in the first quarter of the thirteenth century of the wild mongol hordes from central Asia under the terrible leadership of Genghis Khan. This man, one of the great world conquerors of all time, led an invasion that established an empire extending from China to the borders of Poland and Germany. The mongol occupation of Russia lasted for three hundred years, and the effects of this occupation are still evident in present-day Russia.

The chief result of the invasion was the severance of Russian contact with the western world, making it an essentially eastern country. Russia, which had built up a culture fully the equal of that of western Europe, was now ground under the yoke of national oppression. Just at the time of the western renaissance of culture and learning, Russia entered in its dark Ages of oppression and stagnation. However, we must note that the mongols did not attempt to absorb the Russian civilization and
people into themselves. They were content to be suzerains at a distance, and they allowed the civil and church affairs to proceed as usual without interference. In fact they even guaranteed to protect the church from attack and to exempt its property from confiscation. No religious persecution was permitted by the Khans. However, all of the nobility, including the princes and metropolitans had to be invested by the Khans. For convenience, the Church center moved eastward by steps, finally to Moscow, which was quite out of reach of Constantinople. The Church became used to ecclesiastical independence, and freedom from Greek control.

This independence naturally resulted in bringing the Church closer to the people. Greek metropolitans who had not always been sympathetic with the Russian people were replaced by native Russian bishops, who, under the misery of the Mongol oppression, often became ardent patriots and leaders of the revolt. Of course such a trial in the history of a nation was a stimulus to the need for religion and faith of the Russian people; thus the times saw a turn to religion, a new stronger religion which was tied up now with the freeing of their homeland from the intruder. Thus patriotism and religion became in many cases
synonymous in the Russian mind, a situation which figured strongly in the later history of the Russian Church. Many monasteries established outside the reach of the intruder were extremely active at this time in making new converts among previously unapproached people of the north. It may be seen that in many ways the invasion contributed much to the vitality and strength of the Church in Russia, as well as bringing about a greater unity in oppression among the people themselves.

The late fourteenth and fifteenth centuries saw the withdrawing of the Mongols from Russia toward the East with the exception of only a few scattered districts. Russia, under the leadership of the Ivan III, began to rise as a united nation, centering around the capital of Ivan's principedom, Moscow. He encouraged the assembling of scholars, artists, and their works in Moscow, much of the culture routed from Constantinople by the Turks. He even assumed tentatively, the title of Tsar, a hint that possibly Russia intended to take over the reins of government from the conquered Emperor at Constantinople. It was during his reign that the Mongols were finally completely ousted in the late fifteenth century.

There was no reformation in the Russian Church to accompany the rebirth of freedom and nationality as there
was in the 17th and early 18th centuries; there were very few of the sparks of the Western reformation ignited in Russia. Russia had averted the papal indiscretions, the causes of the split from the Western Church, the loss of the Bible from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the tyranny and corruption of the Roman Church, the loss of the role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of the role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of the role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of the role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of the role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of the role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries,"

Russia had avoided the papal indiscretions, the abuses of doctrines of indulgence and purgatory, the tyranny and corruption of the Roman Church, the loss of its role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of its role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of its role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of its role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of its role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries," the loss of its role from the vernacular and its successive popular "discoveries,"

The inevitable split with the Greek Church came in 1589. A synod of Russian bishops was summoned to Moscow for the selection of a Patriarch to establish a new Patriarchate of Moscow. This move was approved by the Patriarch of Constantinople, under pressure because of his need of funds guaranteed by the Tsar with his cooperation in this matter. The synod submitted the names of three men from which the Tsar chose Job, then Metropolitan of Moscow. At his accession, bishops in Novgorod and Rostov were raised to the level of metropolitan. The importance of the move rested mostly in the final acceptance of the
independence of the Russian Church from Constantinople by the Eastern World.

The Russian Patriarchate was a short-lived institution, however. 1721 saw its suppression in favor of the Holy Synod, which rules the Church until comparatively modern times, by Peter the Great. The deposition of Nikon, the greatest of the Russian Patriarchs, was a foreshadowing of the future elimination of the office. Nikon accepted the appointment to the patriarchate unwillingly, but once invested with the office, he set about his work industriously. His work in the Russian Church corresponded greatly to that done by Gregory the Great in the Roman Church. The order in the service, the organization of the church music of his time, the revised and corrected service books, (innumerable errors and crept in through the many copyings and recopyings. Nikon checked with copies of the Greek originals to correct these mistakes.) and many reforms in the actual worship itself all can be traced to Nikon's industrious activity. His high-handedness in enforcing his decisions on the church and people soon earned a strong resentment for him, especially since many of his reforms, while looking logical and sensible to us now, were odious and unwanted to the people of his day. In spite of the Tsar Alexis' sympathy, he was deposed and sent as prisoner
to a monastery.

Peter the Great is considered to have started the period of Russia's modern history. His efforts also to single-handedly bring western culture into Russia were most successful, as his invitation extended to the West brought great numbers of men, especially from England and Germany, spreading commerce and scientific ideas through the Russian towns. Here we have an uneducated, although extremely intelligent man laying the groundwork of a new civilization and culture in the Russian Empire. In fact, he spent much of his life in traveling incognito through Europe, learning what each nation had to give to the national understanding of his land. He even established his new capital, St. Petersburg, close to the west of Russia to attempt even more to bring his country into more vital contact with the rest of Europe.

Peter's policy of holding the reins of all activities tightly in his own hands is illustrated in his manipulation of the Church government. He had learned his lesson in observation of the activities of Nikon, and he determined to eliminate all possibility of rivalry of such a man in his regime. His act in abolishing the Patriarchate to replace it with a Holy Synod was the last step in completely nationalizing the Russian Orthodox Church. This
Synod is made up of the metropolitans of Kiev, Moscow, and St. Petersburg, along with five or six bishops appointed by the Tsar, and is presided over by a man called the Vice-Prorector, who is responsible to the Tsar. This Vice-Prorector was generally, in Peter's time, a high official in the army, and was known popularly as "the eye of the Tsar". Although in theory the Synod ruled the church independently, in actual fact, the church under Peter became a department of the state. The bishops of the Russian Church were overruled by the Synod and the Synod was to all intents and purposes under the control of the Vice-Prorector, a representative of the civil government. In theory the head of the Church is considered to be Our Lord and the only authority which they recognize in His name is the pronouncement of the Church in the first seven councils. The Emperor is but the temporal defender of the faith, not the head of the Church, theoretically. Since neither the Emperor nor the Synod has any authority as head of the Church, these councils were outside the domain of the Russian Church. Although this is immaterial, as Russians believe that 217 matters of dogma were settled once and for all by the seven ecumenical councils. This is the power of the Emperor in the
Church is wholly in relationship to church administration. However, the true state of affairs is best demonstrated by the oath taken by Synod members at all meetings: "I acknowledge him (the Emperor) to be supreme judge in this spiritual assembly..."

This was the relation of Church and State from the time of Peter the Great until the revolution in 1917. It is all the background in Russian Church history that we will need in our survey of the music of the Russian Orthodox Church, as most of the truly Russian liturgical music falls in this time area of the Synod and the National Church. However, we should observe some of the results of the almost continuous state supervision of the Russian Church and the ways in which it differs from the Western Catholic and Protestant Churches.

The outstanding fact here is the intellectual inertness of the Russian Church. The past ten centuries have seen no growth whatever along the lines of spiritual emancipation. This dogmatical rut can be traced to several causes. One of these is, of course, the confining influence of a secular domination. Such a control would obviously be much more restricting than even that of a tyrannical Pope. The continual depression of the peasant class kept the great majority of the people in intellectual
darkness, thereby avoiding any attempts of inquiring minds to seek new approaches to God and Christ other than those prescribed by the Church. Such searchings in the West by men of developing ideas and ideals led to ever-growing and maturing religious principles, but the East remained as it was before 1000 A.D. The splits between the different factions of the Orthodox Church made agreement between them on dogma and theology almost impossible. No one authority could lay down the law on any matter, and each National Church was jealous of all others - Russian, Greek, Rumanian, Bulgarian and Syrian. The only authority to which the Eastern Church would submit by decree was an ecumenical council, and all theologians admitted that such a council would be impossible.

This lack of development in the Eastern Church has been considered by Sir Charles Eliot. He says:

"It must not be supposed that the controversies of the West led to innovations, and that the Eastern Church remained true to the primitive faith. She has simply no definite doctrines at all on a variety of points, because from inertia and... from political troubles she has never clearly posed or attempted to solve the questions that agitated the West..... These large fluid views about many questions may seem to compare favorably with the rigid definitions of Roman Catholicism, and to approach the spirit of liberty and advanced Christianity. But this is not true. The same priest who shows a becoming diffidence in laying down exactly what happens to the soul after
death, is, in practice, ready to excommunicate anyone who makes the sign of the cross differently from himself." (7)

Baring himself goes on to say:

"It is only the question of papal infallibility which has any real religious or political importance, because it sums up the difference between the two churches. The fact that the Pope can make a religious definition at all contains in itself the difference between the two churches. Catholics, while holding as de fide that the revelation made to the apostles was complete and final, yet admit the possibility of new, explicit definition of the revelation, as seen in the creeds, as heresies arise, or a fuller expression of doctrine is demanded. The Orthodox, on the other hand, consider that the time of definition has been closed for all time; they believe that nothing can be added to the decision of the first seven general councils, which contained, according to them, the final and unalterable definition of the Christian faith and the dogma of the Church." (8)

Thus we have now under observation a theology and ritual that has come down to us over ten centuries, and parts of it over fifteen. We can see that this church is a static church which has not developed at all during the last millenium in its beliefs and doctrines. It is a State Church, completely under the domination of secular rule. Now let us examine the ritual of service that has been handed down over these centuries so faithfully.

(7) Quoted by Baring in The Russian People, p. 337-8.
(8) Ibid., p. 341.
In considering the various services of the Russian Orthodox Church we must remember several pertinent facts. These services are ancient in their history, many of them surviving almost intact from the fourth and fifth centuries A.D. when they were put in their present form in the Greek Church. The high percentage of illiteracy has put most of the burden of the understanding of the service on symbolism rather than intellectual understanding. Consequently, the service tends toward the ceremonial, for exaltation of emotions in faith, humility, and reverence, rather than an attempt to delineate and instruct theologically and morally, as in the Western Churches. The services are conducted in an archaic Slavonic dialect which is not understood by the common people, one evil result of the sterile mold of inertia in the Church. This dialect was first introduced for the express purpose of making the service understood by the congregation. Co re-

I will speak of the Russian services now in the present tense, as such services are still held in many countries, even though suppression of the Church is now the rule in Russia. Many churches even in Russia continue to meet in spite of the strong opposition of the state.
The distinctive quality of a liturgical church is the establishment in that church of a prescribed ritual of service which is not to be deviated from. The outstanding examples in our western culture of this type of church are of course the Episcopal and Roman Catholic Churches. The epitome of liturgical directive is reached in the Eastern Church in which every move by each officiant is controlled; texts, silent prayers and acts in secret by officiants are indicated with exact precision; and even the exact design and colors of vestments and altar cloths are controlled by tradition. This rigidity can be explained to some extent when we remember that every factor is invested with a symbolic significance to the initiated of the
Church.

In the Russian Church "the word Liturgy, which in the Greek means 'A public work' or 'ministry', is particularly applied (heightened by the word 'Divine') to the chief service of the day in which the Holy Eucharist, or Service of Thanksgiving is celebrated." (\textsuperscript{1}) Only one Divine Liturgy may be celebrated in any one day.

There are three forms of the Divine Liturgy, the Liturgies of St. John Chrysostom, of St. Basil the Great, and of the Presanctified gifts. As the first is a later and abbreviated form of the second, there are only slight differences between them. The Liturgy of the Presanctified Gifts is appointed for use only during the Great Fast (Lent). The Holy Gifts (Communion substances) which are used in this service must have been previously consecrated because of the incompatibility of the triumphant joyousness of the complete Liturgy and Consecration with the penitential attitude of Lent. The Liturgy of the Presanctified gifts, therefore, consists of Vespers (making this a late afternoon service in contrast to the prescribed morning hour for the other two rituals) and only a portion of the ordinary Liturgy, omitting all of the Consecration of the Gifts. The

\textsuperscript{(1)} Guppy, 	extit{Service Book of the Holy Apostolic Church}, p. 64.
days on which each service is to be held are also determined by the Church, with the shortened St. Chrysostom Liturgy used most regularly, except when the Full Liturgy of St. Basil is used: the Sundays of Lent except for Palm Sunday; Holy Thursday and Holy Saturday; Christmas and Epiphany Day, when they fall on Sunday or Monday, otherwise on the day preceding each feast; also on St. Basil's Day, which is January 1. The Liturgy of the Presanctified Gifts is celebrated on Wednesdays and Fridays of Lent, and Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday of Passion Week. The full Liturgy is considered appropriate for Saturday and Sunday during Lent. Besides these Divine Liturgies the Russian Church also observes the Canonical Hours in the same manner as the Western Churches, although, of course, the services differ except in their basis of psalms.

Even the architecture of the building and sanctuary is significant and symbolic to the Russian churchman. The Temple is always built with one or more domes with each possibility denoting some significant number in Christian theology. The Temple is, if possible, built in the form of a ship (ship of salvation) or a cross (emblem of salvation). The church is divided into four sections: the Sanctuary, the Solea, the Body of the
Church, and the Porch. The Sanctuary, which is at the eastern end of the church if at all possible, contains the altar and is closed off from the rest of the church by the Image-screen. The Sanctuary is built one step higher than the rest of the church floor, and this platform extends beyond the Image-screen to form the Solea. The Solea and the Sanctuary are connected by the Holy Doors in the center of the Image-screen. Other than in the area directly in front of the Holy Doors, the Solea is railed, and serves as a place for the two choirs to stand, one on each side of the tribune, as the area in front of the Holy Doors is called. The Image-screen is covered with icons, sometimes hundreds of these images and pictures of events in the lives of Christ and the Saints. There is a curtain inside the Holy Doors which is drawn aside during the service. The doors are generally shut, however, except for the clergy, who are the only ones allowed to enter the Sanctuary, to pass through. Beyond the Solea is the body of the church, with a central dais called the Kathedra, and then the Porch.

The two full Liturgies of the Russian Church, the Liturgy of St. John Chrysostom and the Liturgy of St. Basil, each consist of three parts:

1) The Office of Oblation - in which the clergy
prepare the bread and the wine. This part of the service is done wholly in secret in the Sanctuary with the Holy Doors closed; so we need not be concerned with it here.

2) The Liturgy of the Catechumens - which corresponds to the service of Morning Prayer in the Episcopal Church. In it the faithful prepare themselves for the Holy Sacrament through prayers, readings, responses, singing, etc.

3) The Liturgy of the Faithful - in which the Faithful (members of the Christian Church) celebrate the Holy Eucharist. In the early Church, only the faithful were permitted to share in this service. The Catechumens, the "Learners" in the church were not considered to be sufficiently instructed in the mysteries of the Church to observe them without misunderstanding. Therefore, they were required to leave before the Liturgy of the Faithful at the end of the Liturgy of the Catechumens from which it received its name.

We shall analyze the last two sections of the Liturgy of St. John Chrysostom, the only parts in which music figures, remembering that it is very similar to the Liturgy of St. Basil, differing only in some of the
Secret Prayers, one hymn, and a few places in the
Consecration of the Gifts.

At the close of the Office of the Oblation, all
the clergy come out of the sanctuary for a short prayer
and then begin the Liturgy of the Catechumens with the:

Opening Sentences (with choral responses)
Great Litany (deacon and choir)
Exclamation (Priest with choral responses)
First Antiphon (sung by choir) (Psalm 103 or its
appointed substitute for a Great Feast) (Accom-
panied by Secret Prayer by Priest)
Little Litany (deacon and choir)
Exclamation (Priest with choral response)
Second Antiphon (sung by choir) (Psalm 146 or its
appointed substitute for a Great Feast)

Anthem

O Only-begotten Son and Word of God! Thou who
art immortal yea didst design for our salvation
to become incarnate of the Holy Birth - giver
of God and ever-virgin Mary; and without change
of essence wast made man; who wast also
crucified for us, O Christ-God, trampling down
death by death; who art one of the Holy
Trinity, and art glorified together with the
Father and the Holy Spirit; Save us.

Only the parts of the service in which the music fig-
ures prominently are treated in detail here. For the
complete service, see Hapgood, Service Book of the
Russian Church, p. 67 ff.
Versicles and Response (deacon and choir - practically an extension of the Little Litany) (Accompanied by prayer in secret by the Priest)

Exclamation (by Priest with choral response)

Third Antiphon (sung by choir as deacon enters the sanctuary and the Priest says secretly a prayer)

In thy kingdom remember us, O Lord, when thou comest into thy kingdom. Blessed are the poor in spirit: for theirs is the kingdom of heaven. Blessed are they that mourn: for they shall be comforted. Blessed are the meek: for they shall inherit the earth. Blessed are they that do hunger and thirst after righteousness: for they shall be filled. Blessed are the pure in heart: for they shall see God. Blessed are the peace-makers: for they shall be called the children of God. Blessed are they which are persecuted for righteousness sake: for theirs is the kingdom of heaven. Blessed are ye when men shall revile you, and persecute you, and shall say all manner of evil against you falsely, for my sake.

Lesser Entrance (Deacon and Priest emerge from the Sanctuary, preceded by a light, with the Deacon carrying the Gospel)

Versicles and Responses (Deacon, Priest and Choir)

(Gospel is kissed by Priest and placed on the Altar with appropriate ceremony) (Choir sings:

O come, let us worship and fall down before Christ. Save, O Son of God, who didst rise again from the dead, us who sing unto thee: Alleluia, alleluia. Alleluia.

(a) This phrase varies with the day of celebration of the Liturgy.
Proper hymn for the day (sung by Choir, usually harmonized plainsong)

Collect hymn for the day (sung by Choir, usually harmonized plainsong) (during the above the Priest says secret prayers and blessings)

Versicles and Responses (Deacon, Priest and Choir)

(Deacon sings)

O Holy God, Holy mighty, Holy Immortal One, have mercy upon us. O Holy God, Holy mighty, Holy Immortal One, have mercy upon us. O Holy God, Holy mighty, Holy Immortal One, have mercy upon us. Glory now and ever, holy immortal One, have mercy upon us. O holy God, Holy mighty, Holy Immortal One, have mercy upon us.

(special response here on certain occasions: Christmas, Epiphany, Palm Sunday Eve, Holy Saturday, Eastertide, and Pentecost)

Gradual (Read by special Reader; sung by the Choir.

Then the Reader reads the Verse or Verses and Choir repeats the Gradual proper. Then the Reader reads the first half of the Gradual when the Choir sings the last half)

Epistle (Read by the Reader) (Deacon censes the Holy Altar, Sanctuary, Priest, and People meanwhile in preparation for the reading of the Gospel)

Versicles and Responses (Deacon, Reader, Priest and Choir)

Gospel (Read by Deacon or Priest from the Tribune)
after suitable ceremony with the book and taking it from the Altar)

Versicles and Responses (Deacon and Choir)

Petitions for the Ruler of the Land and for all the Authorities (Deacon and choral responses: Lord have mercy)

Exclamation and Prayer (Priest with choral responses)

Litany of Fervent Supplication (Deacon or Priest with Choir)

Exclamation (Priest with choral response)

Litany of the Catechumens (Deacon and Choir) (Accompanied by Secret Prayer by the Priest)

Exclamation and Dismissal of the Catechumens (Priest and Deacon with choral responses)

The Liturgy of the Faithful, which corresponds to the Western Communion Service follows immediately after the Catechumens have been dismissed. It proceeds as follows:

Versicles and Responses (Deacon and Choir) (Secret Prayers by the Priest accompany this act)

Exclamation (by Priest)

Litany (Deacon and Choir) (Secret Prayer by the Priest)

Cherubimic Hymn (Choir) (The Great Entrance with the
Holy Gifts is made after the Amen by all of the Clergy taking part in the service. This is the best-known in the West of the excerpts from the Russian Service, the first part being slow and sustained, mystical in nature, while the second part bursts forth in jubilation and triumph.

Let us, the Cherubim mystically representing and unto the Life-giving Trinity the thrice-holy chant intoning, all cares terrestrial now lay aside. Amen.

That we may raise on high the King of all, like conqueror on shield and spears, by the Angelic hosts invisibly upborne. Alleluiah. Alleluiah. Alleluiah.

(This is followed by several prayers, readings, etc., by the Priest and Deacon who return into the Sanctuary after the Cherubimic hymn. Then follows:)

Litany (Deacon and Choir)
Exclamation (Priest)
Versicles and Responses (Priest, Deacon and Choir)
Credo, or Creed (Choir)(The Nicene Creed is used, of course, without the Filioque clause!)
Versicles and Responses (Priest and Choir)
Exclamation and Response (Priest and Choir)

Holy, holy, holy, Lord of Sabaoth; heaven and earth are full of thy glory: Hosanna in the highest: Blessed is he that cometh in the Name of the Lord. Hosanna in the highest.

Exclamations, Responses, and Secret Prayers (Deacon,
Priest and Choir)(Ceremony surrounding the Sacrament about to be performed)

Psalm to the Birthgiver of God (Choir)

(If at the Liturgy of St. John Chrysostom) Meet is it, in truth, to bless thee, the birthgiver of God, ever-blessed and all undefiled, and the mother of our God. More honorable than the Cherubim, and beyond compare more glorious than the Seraphim, than who, without defilest and bearest God and the Word, true birthgiver of God, we magnify thee.

(If at the Liturgy of St. Basil) In thee rejoicest, O thou, who art full of grace, every created being, the hierarchy of the Angels, and all mankind, O Consecrated Temple and super-sensual Paradise, Glory of Virgins, of whom God, who is our God before all the ages, was incarnate and became a little child. For he made of thy womb a throne, and thy belly did he make more spacious than the heavens. In thee doth all creation rejoice, O thou who art full of Glory: Glory to thee.

(The Proper hymn to the Birthgiver of God shall be sung on special feasts.)

Secret Prayers (Deacon and Priest)

Exclamation and Response (Priest and Choir)

Litany (Deacon and Choir)(Secret Prayer by Priest)

Lord’s Prayer (the Congregation. The form of the prayer varies slightly from the Western form.)

Exclamations, Versicles, Responses, and Prayers

(Priest, Deacon and Choir)

Anthem for the Day, Saint, or Feast (Choir)

The Congregation’s only part in the service, other than by bodily actions such as crossing themselves, bowing, prostrations, etc.
We have beheld the true Light; we have received the heavenly Spirit; we have found the true faith. Let us bow down in worship to the Trinity Undivided, for He hath saved us.

(At Eastertide) Christ is risen from the dead, trampling down death by death, and upon those in the tomb bestowing life.

(Then after a few words by the Priest) Let our mouths be filled with thy praise, O Lord, that we may extol thy glory, for that thou hast deigned to make us partakers of thy holy divine, immortal and life-giving Mysteries. Establish us in thy Sanctification, that all the day long we may meditate upon thy righteousness. Alleluia. Alleluia. Alleluia.

(At Eastertide) Christ is risen from the dead, trampling down death by death, and upon those in the tomb bestowing life.

Litany and Responses (Deacon and Choir)

Exclamation, Versicles, Prayer and Responses (Priest and Choir)

Psalms reading (Psalms 34 read by special reader)

Benediction and Prayers (Priest)

The above description of the Liturgy in the Russian Church is superficial in many parts for the purpose of brevity here, but even here we can see the complicated interweaving of parts, acts, text, and music which is without parallel in the West. Every word and
action by the officiants is prescribed in the service. This is essentially a service of adoration, of contemplation of the Glory of God.

"The main aim of the worshipper seems to be to stand in the presence of God. That to him is worship. He is not seeking an opportunity for self expression nor primarily a way to secure blessings, for his worship is less egoistic than ours. Conscious contact with God is to him the great reality of worship. His ritual tends to turn his thoughts away from himself and encourages his contemplation of the greatess and glory of God. This finally moves him into adoration, which is the preeminent feature of Eastern worship.

"... Their worship is emotional and not intellectual. There is little in it to arouse the mind. The worshippers seem mentally inert but their emotions are aglow. Their worship is emotional, not in the sense of being excited or ecstatic, for it is very quiet and restrained; but deep feeling is there, humility, faith, reverence, and real adoration."(19)

Close examination of the Liturgy, or attendance at a Russian Church service will demonstrate immediately the large part the music plays in attaining this goal of the Eastern Church of communion between the worshipper and God. In this intricate Liturgy, which lasts several hours, the choir is constantly at work in anthems, hymns, responses, litanies, and all of the many duties which fall to it during the service. Besides the work of the choir, much of the reading of the Priest and Deacon is sung or

chanted. Thus it can be seen that the Russian Services cannot be considered without the music, nor can the music be comprehended without an understanding of its use in the service. The two are so inseparably bound together that neither is complete as an entity. This truth is reinforced by an understanding of the source of texts in the music of the Church. The Russian Orthodox Church allows the use of no extra-liturgical music; all texts must come from the Liturgies or from the Canonical Hours. Therefore, in order to understand the text and even the correct interpretation of the music absolute, we must appreciate its place and purpose in the worship service.

This aspect of the relationship between the Liturgy and the music used in it will be considered in more detail later. Now let us turn to a survey of the Greek chant and early church melodies, along with Russian folk songs and other roots from which the modern Russian Church music has developed.
The music of the Russian Liturgical Church can be traced to two prime sources. The first of these is the earliest Russian monodic chant which derived entirely from the chant of the Greek and Syrian Byzantine Churches. As was the case in the whole Christian Church in a large portion of its history, the division between secular and religious music was not a sharp line. Therefore, the local folk songs figured to a large extent in the Russian Church music heritage also. However, the folk song influence was really imposed upon the already existing Greek hymns and chants which must concern us primarily here.

St. Basil the Great proved to be the Gregory of the Eastern Church in the Fourth Century. He was the writer of the St. Basil Liturgy that we have discussed, and also the organizer and corrector of the disorganized and largely incorrect Greek Chant. In fact, many authorities treat his work as the beginnings of the chant.

There was very little development in sacred music in the Byzantine Church until the fifth century and later outside the singing of psalms and canticles,
mainly because of the fear of possible heresy in writing hymns that did not agree with the church dogma. Also the inspired psalms and canticles from the Bible were considered too far above the efforts of mere humans that they should be used together. In fact, in the council of Laodicea many hymns that the Church was using at the time (the third century) were excluded from public worship for these very reasons. Hymn composition was led by the heretical sects in their attempts to proselytize by the variety of their ritual.

As the Christian Church Eucharist ritual was fixed and unchangeable, hymn development had to take place in the services for morning and evening. The first hymns were short petitions to Christ, called troparia, which were inserted between psalm verses, called stichoi. With time these troparia became longer, so that insertion between all psalm verses became impractical and only the interludes between the last three verses were used. These longer hymns, called stichera, were of varying types; some borrowed rhythm and melody from a previous work (prosomoia; some were original in treatment (idiornela); and some of the original stichera served as models for successive pieces (then called automela). The horologium of the
earliest Eastern Church presents one first of those hymns that have survived to the present day. Among these we find the "Hail gladdening Light", or "O Gladsome Light" which still figures in Eastern Church services. Nearly all of these songs are characterized by a marked structure and rhythm, which often had monotonous texts, demonstrating great variety and freedom of rhythm, "... the inference being that the music was felt to be more important than the words." (15) The resistance of the Church to the hymn movement and this textual subservience was wearing away by the sixth and seventh centuries when these developments were taking place.

The development of the Idiomela led naturally to the emergence of the Ode, which, according to legend, was formed first by Saint Romanus, one of the greatest masters of Byzantine chant, when he was inspired by a vision of the Mother of God. In the Ode form hymnology threw off the limitations of strict observance of church ritual to combine many verses of hymns without being forced to follow a set pattern of psalm verse and response. Odes were made up of a one or two verse

(15) Tillyard, Byzantine Music and Hymnography, p. 11.
introduction, followed by several stanzas to make up the body of the ode in a different meter than the introduction, with each stanza followed by a refrain. These odes, also called kontakia, were practically sung for one or more holidays, or biblical texts, in dramatic poetry.

Saint Andrew of Crete, who was bishop of Crete around the end of the seventh and beginning of the eighth century is credited with the invention of the Kanon or Kanon form of the ode. (14) The Kanon took the place of the Kontakion in the ritual during the Iconoclastic controversy when the ritual was rearranged slightly. Each kanon theoretically consisted of nine odes, one based on each of the nine chief canticles, in which case it was to contain some type of reference to its canticle. Actually the second ode was omitted except in Lent, so that most of the kanones never had it. Each ode consisted of several stanzas, usually four, each stanza maintaining the same rhythmic plan. The kanones were not sung straight through; many different verses of different hymns were interpolated between odes. Also the music for the kanones was generally not

original. As was usually the case in the Eastern Church, the
writers were merely poets writing new texts to existing
melodies.

"... The kanon exemplifies one principle... of...
importance for the whole of Eastern art - namely
the principle of reiteration and variation.... One
European creates a work of art with a view to one
single, short, intensely passionate moment of
ecstatic appreciation: the Oriental repeats the
representation, or provides it with almost
unnoticeable variations, so that appreciation of it
becomes a form of meditation.... In the cases of
the kanons the place of the canticles, they
are considered as earthly symbols of the heavenly
hymns, in the same way as the singers symbolised
the angels. Therefore, ... they still aim to be
variations of the old canticles. There is no
question of a free, individualistic handling of a
theme.... the same is true of the music.... One
composer did not have to compose an entirely
different tune for each kanon: his task was merely
to add to an admired musical formula, which seemed serviceable
to give an intensification, a beautifying, or a
small variation. The melodies sung in the church
were, to the composer and the congregation,
imitations of the hymns sung in God's praise by
inspired saints and martyrs; these songs in their
turn imitating the divine canticles sung
unceasingly by the angels in heaven." (1)

Of course these were not the only types of hymns arising
in the Eastern Church; there were many shorter and less
prestigious kinds also, some written for existing
melodies and some with original melodies. These
survivors of the ages fall in several classifications:

---

(1) Gellesz, I., "Byzantine Music" in Proceedings of
the Musical Association (1932), pp. 10-11.
works, additional stanzas to original works, petitions for help, guidance and mercy, verses originally inserted between the odes of a kanon, short odes, and miscellaneous hymns on Biblical subjects.

Analyses of the music that was used for the singing of these chants and hymns have been extremely tentative because of one tremendous problem. Although it can be seen that music played a large part in worship services from the earliest times, no surviving traces of definitely musical notation for church use go back farther than the end of the tenth century. These earliest remnants deal only with hymns, and the music of the Liturgy, psalms, and teaching material does not appear until later still. However, students of medieval music have evolved analyses of available manuscripts and general hypotheses, which, while differing greatly in many ways, can give us a reasonably clear picture of this music.

The earliest notation which has been deciphered by the scholars of Byzantine music is the so-called notule or round notation. This system would not be of much assistance in our discussion of the early Greek—it dates from the twelfth to fifteenth centuries—if it were not possible, because of the overlapping of
notation systems chronologically to trace certain melodies backward into the preceding notation letter, the Linear. Comparisons of the same hymn set to music in different notation systems, while the earlier system is incomprehensible in its entirety, has made a certain similarity in melodies obvious, thus making some break of the Linear system of the tenth through twelfth centuries. Comparisons lead to the linear evidence of notation in the preceding letter system enabling a further transfer of the Linear possible.

The Linear notation is preceded by the Ecphonetic system which is not believed to be strictly musical at all, but serves only as a guide to the reader in declamation of the reading. No intervals or pitch values are indicated, but only the inflection of the voice, intonation, or emphasis were shown, really a reminder to the reader who must already have the proper inflection in which it ended. Traces of this notation go back to the eighth century and it is believed to be much older than that. This type of Gospel recitation is still used in the Greek church, although the system of notation became obsolete by the fourteenth century.

There are several varieties of notation
falling in the Linear classification. Most of these, like the neumes of the Western Church, had no fixed music value, but were hints to the singer, reminding him of melodies which he had learned previously by rote. Comparisons of these neumes with later parallel versions in more understood notations have given leads in the understanding of them. These Byzantine neumes were also the roots of the Russian medieval notations of chants.

By the methods suggested above, some conclusions as to the nature of the early music have been reached, although a comprehensive study of it is still impossible. The music is monodic, unaccompanied by instruments, in free rhythm, and for the most part simple and unornamented. Although paleographers are not wholly agreed as to its rhythm, most have accepted the indivisible unit of beat, notated in modern notation as an eighth note (quaver), just as in the Gregorian Chant, with treatments of the free rhythm much like the Gregorian. The early music is supposed to have been most simple, with one tone to a syllable of text, even sometimes more than one syllable to a tone. As the second millennium was approached, melodies became more ornate and melismatic, especially to underscore certain
The chief problem is the Byzantine neglect to distinguish whole-tones, half-tones, or any smaller steps in the intervallic signs. All the theories of Byzantine modal tonality assume a scalar system of whole and half steps similar to our own (which may or may not have been the case) whereupon a certain correspondence takes place between the different theories.

There is one tie between the ancient Greek music and the medieval Greek church music: both are based on systems of modes; although the construction of the ones varies greatly in many ways. These modes, or Echoi, were eight in number, of which four were authentic and four plagal. Each mode had a signature from the first four letters of the alphabet used as numbers with a special mark to indicate the authentic or plagal mode. This signature indicated not only the musical formula of the mode but also the pitch of the Finalis of that mode wherever in the melody it might occur. That there is a definite correlation between
the Greek and Gregorian modes is a generally accepted fact among theorists; so we have the following relationship between the modes, with the starting notes and finales indicated:

**Authentic Modes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Byzantine</th>
<th>Gregorian</th>
<th>Starting Note</th>
<th>Finale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>VII</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Plagal Modes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Byzantine</th>
<th>Gregorian</th>
<th>Starting Note</th>
<th>Finale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen that the finales of the corresponding I, II, III, and IV authentic and plagal modes of the Byzantine system are identical, although the starting notes are a fifth apart in each case.

"These modes were not absolute in pitch; some..."

(18) All do not agree on the latter point. Ellesz says in his "Byzantine Music" in the Proceedings of the Musical Association (1932): "I have found that the mode (echos) is not absolutely connected with a certain finale, but with the occurrence of a group of arguments which form the melody of each mode.... The scales were gradually evolved by a process of grouping certain... formulae on which all melodies were built."

(19) Tables derived from a synthesis of tables from Reese, Music in the Middle Ages; and Tillyard, Byzantine Music and Hymnography.
transposition and overlapping of modes was used in practice for the accommodation of the range of the human voice. Also the modes were lengthened into extra notes on each end of their spans in many melodies. The use of some finalis notes in manuscripts with both authentic and plagal signatures, as mentioned above, seems to show that some notes belong to both systems, although this group of notes is limited with some above only receiving the authentic and some below only the plagal signatures. Therefore the following table of signatures results, with extensions at either end in the mode type indicated: \(^{(10)}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authentic</strong></td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>f</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plagal</strong></td>
<td>iv</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and the theoretical foundation of all modes proves to be the following series of tetrachords in sequence: \(^{(10)}\)

\[
\text{G} \quad \text{A} \quad \text{B}^\flat \quad \text{C} \quad \text{D} \quad \text{E} \quad \text{F} \quad \text{G} \quad \text{A}'
\]

The accidentals might vary: \(f'\) would not be sharpened if used as an extension of modes other than IV; the \(b\) was a movable tone and might or might not be flatted, depending on the wish of the composer. Generally the interval pattern as it has been hypothesized by paleographers is considered to be uniform in the modes; \(^{(10)}\)

Willyard, *Byzantine Music and Hymnography.*
for example, authentic mode I consists of four tones separated by whole-tone intervals except for the second and third tones separated by a half-tone interval, etc., with the other modes.

The result of the above is a combining of brother modes, authentic and plagal, into octave scales with possible extensions at each end of the octave.

"Modes I and I plagal employ mainly the octave from d to d'. ... Mode I (authentic) generally begins and ends on a but may use g. I plagal mostly begins on d and then takes b-flat.

Mode II (authentic) has b or e for its Finalis. Mode II plagal usually has g and takes b-flat.

Mode III (authentic) has c or f for Finalis. Mode III plagal, if untransposed, begins on low b-flat; if transposed (as it generally is) it begins on f, still needing b-flat.

Mode IV (authentic) generally starts from e; but sometimes, on the analogy of IV plagal, it borrows c in which case it needs b-flat.

The fourth plagal itself regularly begins from g (also from a) and always takes b-flat."(11)

This was the stage of development that Byzantine Church music had reached by the end of the first millennium of Christianity. At this time a younger field of Christian Chant was shooting up from the roots of this one. Now let us turn to survey this growth in the east, which, while emerging from the Byzantine Chant, developed a different and unique

(11) Ibid.
music which only recently is becoming appreciated and understood in the western world.

When Prince Vladimir returned from his christening and marriage to the sister of the Emperor Basil II in 988, he brought with him not only the Greek priests for converting the people but also the singers and trained musicians necessary for organizing a choir. Very shortly after this the influx of teachers from Byzantium began, so that numerous choirs began to develop during the reigns of Vladimir and his son, Yaroslaf, the most famous of which were those at Kiev, Moscow, Ovgorod, Vladimir, Pskov, and the Bogolubov Monastery. It can be clearly seen that the Russian Church started with a fully developed organized religious chant which was derived in its entirety from the tradition of the Byzantine.

The Russians were not long in applying their own ideas to this Byzantine material, however. It was only a few decades, toward the end of the eleventh century, before the Russians were already composing new stichera for special services in memory of Russian saints. The earliest of these that have survived were written on the occasion of the transfer of the relics of St. Nicholas to Bari (1087) in honor of
Saint Theodosius of Petchersk (1095) and of the Saints Boris and Gleb (1108). Although these new stichera were just the application of new texts to given melodies, the treatment of each was technically and musically well done, and shone a fine understanding of the musical theory of the time.

The music which the Russians learned was essentially the eight-mode system treated above, called by the Russians "glassy", their word for mode or tones. The notation of the music was derived from the Byzantine Ecphonetic and Linear systems and was made up of signs inserted above the texts, although with no special alignment. These signs were called, at first, tokens (znakena) or posts (stolny), and later crochets or hooks (kriuki), or tokens in crochets (kriukovia znakena). From these terms were derived the names by which this notation was known: kruiki or znakenny notation. This notation did not immediately replace the Byzantine, as the two are known to have existed side by side along with a later notation of a Demestic Slav, which is still not clear to the scholars. Not all of the znakenny symbols have been deciphered either. Over ninety signs were discovered in one thirteenth century manuscript and many of these have become obsolete.
before the first technical musical treatises appeared in the fifteenth century. At that, these technical works gave only the names of various signs, without precise meanings. A similar notation system is still being used by the Raskolniki or Old Believers, (a survival of Nikon's changes in the worship service) but the notation has evolved too much to be of much service to scholars.

Paleographers, however, have come to some conclusions about this notation. The range of notes extends in a theoretical scope from G to d' (with b-natural but b'-flat) which is divided into four "realms" of three degrees each. This range is theoretical only, giving the pattern of steps and half-steps, and was in practice transposed at will to fit the voice range of performers. Each of these four realms, - the low, dark, bright, and three-fold bright, - could be indicated by the znameenny sign, but not the exact degree within the realm. Rhythmic signs were plentiful, with intensity of each note indicated and also the phrasing, by the method of placing each note in the melodic motive - beginning, middle or end. It can be seen that a certain familiarity with the melody to be sung was necessary in the execution of this music,
although the manner of execution was quite carefully indicated. A later composer and theorist of the late sixteenth century, Ivan Akimovich Shaidurov, developed a system of letters (Kinovarnija pomieti) which, applied to the kriuki notation, fixed the pitch in each realm more accurately.

This znamenny or kriuki notation survived in general use for a stormy six centuries until the seventeenth, when it gradually became replaced for the most part by the five-line system which resembles the western system in theory, although the note shapes were different. During the twelfth, thirteenth and fourteenth centuries the break between the Byzantine and Russian musical tradition became more complete. Toward the end of this time, the system of notation became more abbreviated, and through this change in notation some changes in the music became adopted. Also new melodies which were foreign to the musical style implied by the notation were absorbed from the body of Russian folk music, and these affected the purity of the chant. Other abuses also crept into the church music. Coloratura passages, added to ornament the simple chant, and certain changes in pronunciation of semi-vowels, called khomonía, resulted in a lengthening of performance time.
To offset these increases in the length of performance time, sometimes two or three prayers were sung simultaneously. The respect offered to the sacred texts was obviously slight indeed. Ivan the Terrible in 1551 established a project for the formation of schools to instruct the clergy in these matters, especially in the singing and execution of the chant in an attempt to combat this corruption. Although little was accomplished along that line, a codification of the theoretical aspects of the chants resulted in these schools, and valuable revisions and additions were made to it. The "Cinnabar Letters" of Shaidurov, mentioned above, appeared in this movement.

In the middle of the seventeenth century found five distinct types of chant in Russia, each one centered around some distinct part in the Byzantine area. These were: the Znamenny and Lesser Znamenny Chants centering in Moscow, the Kiev Chant, the Greek Chant, and the Bulgarian Chant.

The first two of these were related in form as well as in name. They were basically the same, but the Lesser Znamenny was simpler and less ornate, being used in only the daily services in contrast with the larger Znamenny used on Sundays, feast days, etc.
"My help cometh from the Lord, which made heaven and earth."

The Kiev chant is believed to have been a Southwestern version of the Znamenny, so much alike are the two. However, it has also been influenced to some extent by the Greek and Bulgarian chants, and has absorbed melodies from Galicia and Volhynia. It was one of the first chants to be harmonized, and its simplicity and adaptability have caused Chalinov in his book on the Russian Church to term it a "master chant". Example 2 compares the Znamenny and Kiev chants in their settings of the same text.

Example 2

(next page)

(22) The next few examples will be taken from "The Notation of the Regular Religious Church Service, 1909" as presented in Reese, Music in the Middle Ages.
"Arise, O Lord, and help us, and deliver us, for Thy name's sake."

The chants of the Greek and Old Rite schools differ considerably from the other three already mentioned. They resemble each other more than they resemble the others, although the resemblance is not as strong as in the case of the Znamenny and Kiev Chants. Both are strongly melodic and evenly rhythmic, making them more easily assimilated by Western ears than the unsymmetrical rhythms of the three above. Fewer manuscripts of these chants have survived than of the other forms, however.

Example 3
In spite of the efforts of Ivan the Terrible to stop the trend of glorifying the music of the chant at the expense of the sacred texts, matters in this respect became worse and worse. In the seventeenth century the establishment of the Patriarchate in Moscow necessitated even greater majesty in the service, and made more welcome yet variations in the many repetitions of the chants. These practices of embellishments made the services even longer, and encouraged even more the recitation of several passages of text at once, sometimes as many as six. Naturally these activities did not make for great accuracy in the religious chant books, and considerable confusion resulted over the years. Finally in the year 1655, Tsar Alexis Michailovitch selected a group of churchmen to purify and coordinate the texts of the chant books. When a plague interrupted their work, he appointed a new committee of six in 1668 under the direction of Alexander Vesenetz, a monk who had by his own efforts been elevated to the Patriarchate, where he was known
as Nikon, he became the outstanding figure in the history of the Russian Church. Using the accumulated material from the whole history of the Russian Church, along with the old books from the Byzantine Church, he completely reorganized and corrected the worship books and music of the Church. The formation of the Raskolnik or "old believers" dates from his revision. The Consistory found him wrong in his work, and retained the older unrevised books for their worship services.

It was about this same time that harmonized music first began to appear in the religious services. The Latin and Uniate Churches were beginning to make inroads on the Russian Church, causing the different sections of the Byzantine Church to band more closely together. Southwest Russian religious brotherhoods were the first to introduce a polyphonic religious chant, in opposition to the church music of the ever-growing and encroaching Latin and Uniate Churches. The first attempts used simple harmonizations of local melodies and single-voice chants taken from the Greeks and South Slavs. The closer unity between the parts of the Eastern Church caused the movement to spread rapidly. Nikon supported the movement strongly, and it was in this time that the choirs of the Patriarchal...
The early harmonized chant, as it was used in the Russian Church, was for the most part quite uniform in its techniques. The melodies were given to the tenor, or in later times to the alto, and the other voices provided only a simple harmonic accompaniment. An occasional chant found sopranos singing the melody in sixths or other voices in thirds, however. This was the extent of early Russian polyphonic music as there was little time for the development of a characteristic Russian Church music before the influence of Western Europe began to make itself felt under Peter the Great in the early part of the eighteenth century.

In his westernizing of Russia, Peter the Great took great pains to introduce the Western fine arts, and Italian opera was one of his importations. The Italian school left a strong impression on the Russian composers, beginning with Berezovsky, Lvov and Bartchiansky, the latter of whom enjoyed world-wide fame. Although some attempt was made to use the old chants, the Western rules of harmony and counterpoint prevailed, making the works of these men indistinguishable...
from works of western composers (23). An initial step were the missions fit the western music, not even transcriptions of arias from Italian operas were used. in church with sacred texts.

The first steps toward a truly Russian chant were taken by Vourtchaninow and Ipatov, although they both left much to be desired. The latter referred back to the ancient melodies, quoting them exactly, treating almost every note as a chord tone, and harmonizing with only triads in root position and first inversions. The resulting solemn structures and dullness can easily be imagined. The great reformer in this movement was Michael Glinka, who, although remembered mostly for his operatic works, was untiring in his insistence on a national Russian church music. He maintained that the harmonizations of old melodies and new compositions for the church should be diatonic in character, based on the old modes, and not the major and minor scales and chromaticism of the west. Although an early death sadly interrupted his crusade, the cause was taken up by others: Balakirev, Rimsky-Korsakov, Lvovsky, and even Rachaiovsky in his secular

(23) See "Blessed is He", Cherubim Songs in Polish; "Glory to God", by Martiansky; "Of Thy Mystical Supper", by Lvov for examples.
works in the Western style so swept the civilized world of his day. The final and decisive step came from 1797 when the Emperor Paul instituted rulings excluding all music from performance in the church which did not meet the requirements of national character as judged by the Synod. Thus, even works by Russian composers were not permitted to be used in worship services if they were not considered by the Synod to follow adequately the Russian national tradition of church music.

It is these characteristics of nationality in the Russian church music which make it so extremely interesting and distinctive from all other choral music of our present day. Section V will be concerned with a rather detailed discussion and analysis of some of these characteristics and their use in various works by Russian composers.
Modern Russian liturgical music, meaning the music written for the Russian Church during approximately the past two hundred years beginning with Bortniansky, has developed into a medium of choral expression unequalled elsewhere in the world. During these two centuries a vast body of unaccompanied choral works has come into being almost unknown to the western world—choral works on an extremely high plane of artistic and religious expression. In spirit, these compositions have been compared to the works of the Italian school of Palestrina, but they are of larger scope and greater musical development as they come later in the cycle of unfolding composition techniques and improvements.

The nationalizing influence of the Russian government during this time has made it a music differing in style, concept, harmonic and melodic treatment, and in composing technique from any other choral music in the world. It is music of a spiritual beauty and dignity that contrasts most unflatteringly with much of the music appearing regularly in our Protestant churches.

Like any great music, its value cannot be fathomed at one hearing, any more than a Brahms or
Beethoven or Prokofieff symphony can be completely comprehended from one performance. There are obstacles to the assimilation of this music by the musical layman. The appreciation of the a cappella or choral tone is, to a large extent, overwhelmed in our day as choruses are accompanied by various instrumental ensembles, and the subtlety and grace of the well-trained a cappella choir is lost on the average listener. Likewise the predominant softness, tranquillity and slowness of Russian music is null compared with the sensationalism of our day. Opportunity to hear enough Russian church music for understanding its approach to religion is lacking to all but a very few in our country, putting appreciation of such music beyond the reach of most.

One of the chief objections is to a supposed depressing and melancholy sentiment inherent in the music. Such an opinion could only arise from a lack of familiarity with and comprehension of the music. In comparison with too much of our Protestant religious music it refuses to stoop to cheap sentimentalism, sing-songy catchiness, or jazzy rhythms. The Reverend Turchineff of the Russian church expresses most sincerely the spirit of the Russian music, and what we might wish could be the spirit of more of our own composers in the church music field.
"The value of church singing is this - it relieves man's soul from the oppression of sorrow. This is perfectly intelligible if easily agreed in escape to the semi-life deposits in our homes.... It is true they (Russian religious chants) are sorrowful (to us we are, not so help). Yet this sorrow is not of oppression but of regret, that we are still so in the ideal, from heaven to divinity. The consciousness of our sinfulness along with the longing to escape it, with our behaviour, be daring, not for us. Of the role of reconciliation has been granted to us so that the final chord sounds solemn and triumphant."

"The Russian music has its moments of exaltation to joy also, but these moments do not depend on trivial rhythms or commonplace, casual harmonies. It is a joy of grandeur and glorification comparable to the last movement of Beethoven's Fifth Symphony. However, we must remember that more people turn to the church for consolation and comfort than in a spirit of rejoicing.

The texts of this music are all taken from the liturgy and service books and the psalter; there is no extra-liturgical music in the church. This limitation in the choice of texts has caused, of course, that each possible text has innumerable settings, sometimes several by the same composer. Also there are so many possible texts in the long liturgies and other services that the choice of texts is not so binding as one might think.

The music used by the Russian composers has...

been more and more based on ancient church melodies in the 12 modes and old Russian folk songs which have become so interwoven with the church music as to become almost indistinguishable. Those melodies are given a full harmonic structure, generally with doubling or more or less parts, and often following the measured modal modes. Modern chromaticism and modulation into distant keys are not often found, nor are they necessary, as no just intonation of the untempered scale provides considerably more contrast harmonically, either in the scale patterns than the imperfections of the tempered scale could ever affect. In fact any of the extreme modulations found in much western choral music as it is conceived at the keyboard are practically impossible with the just intonation system.

The impression first received by the listener being introduced to the music of the Russian Church is one of great depth and richness of harmony and color. Immediately as he has leaped into a new world of choral expression. Instead of the conventional four-part harmony of our acquaintance in western civilization, he hears a solid body of harmony comparable to a full orchestral chord. As may be found in almost any edition of octavo of Russian Church music printed by
It is customary in Russian Church music for the octave-bass to double the written bass when harmonically possible. This is perhaps the chief element in rendering this music.... Even this practice alone will transform any well-written four-part choral work, bringing a new depth and power to its performance. Taking just the simple example quoted here to the text "Bow down Thine ear, 0 Lord, and hear me...." one can easily see the tremendous difference the addition of the octave-bass would make, even by playing the excerpt on the piano.

Example 5

(25)

But this is not all. Every part may be doubled, or even divided into three parts, making as

For example we may examine "By the Rivers of Babylon" by Rachmaninoff, which is sung divisi throughout, i.e., so to speak, one voice soprano parts, three alto parts, four tenor parts, and four bass parts. Or we may try the "Our Father" of Grigoriev which uses two antiphonal choirs, one in four parts and the other in eight with double parts in the bass and alto, a single part in the soprano, and a triple part in the tenor. Of the group of choral works listed in this paper, which are selected as typical of the school of Russian music, it is notable that indeed do not have at least eight parts, many of them more. The solidity and support of such writing cannot be imagined without actually hearing it performed.

The use of the octavo bass to double the given bass part an octave lower as mentioned above is an example of one profound characteristic of the music, the exploitation and use of the bass voice far beyond anything found outside Russian music. The Russian bass will sing parts descending down to 4 or almost an octave lower than any western composer wrote for bass before the advent in the west of such music. Also the bass assumes a new importance over and above the usual duty of supplying the foundation of the
harmony. Here we can see the freedom employed by the Russian composers in their part writing, comparable to that found in a well-written string quartet in which each section plays an integral part in the harmonic, melodic, and rhythmic structure of the work. In Example 6 we can see a melodic bass line in octaves accompanied by a slow chanting on a tremendous sustained chord by the three upper parts,

Example 6

or, as in Example 7, a chanting, recitative-like passage in the bass accompanied by sustained chanting.

(2c) Nikolay, "The Earth is the Lord's," J. Fischer & Bro. edition.
Many are the examples of unique treatments of bass voices in the choral works for the church by Rachmaninoff. There is the dark, ominous, almost terrifying effect of bass chanting in three parts against slower-moving upper parts:
or the long equal note extremely low or in octaves, in this case with a third bass part figuring in with the upper musical lines:

Example 10 (continued)

(see next page)


or other distinctive treatments of the bass voice in
the Russian choral literature see "From My Youth" by
Kastalsky, "Gladsome Radiance" by Gretchaninoff, "The
Lord Said unto My Lord" by Nikolsky, "The Beatitudes"
Tschesnokoff, or "Praise the Lord, O my Soul" by
Gretchaninoff.

The multiplicity of voice parts with these
specialized uses of the bass voice leads to such anti-
phonal singing, especially in sudden contrasting
passages in which the sopranos and altos or tenors and
basses drop out completely in the middle of a composi-
tion, leaving just a four, five, or six part male or
Quite often the contrasting parts do not drop out completely as in the above examples, but continue against the male or female chorus in one sustained tone or slow-moving single-voiced countepoint. Also the transition to the contrasting section does not always need to be so abrupt, with the voice parts being eliminated one by one rather than all at once. Some works start with male or female chorus alone, e.g.,

- *Balakirev, "In the Lord Doth My Soul Rejoice", J. Fischer edition.*
Later on to full chorus. Some of the possible transitions to this effective device, comparable to sectional orchestrations of string, woodwind, and brass choirs.

Example 13 (32)

Example 14 (v.)


(33) Nikolsky, "The Earth is the Lord's", J. Fischer edition.
This antiphonal work is not confined wholly to the possibilities of contrasting male and female voices.

The liturgical positions of the choirs, divided into two


parts on the Solea, one part on each side of the Holy Doors, makes possible the use of antiphonal full choirs. Composers of the Russian school have made use of this arrangement much as the early Italian school made use of the balconies in the Sistine Chapel for antiphonal effects. Rachmaninoff's "Lord's Prayer", written for two choirs, one SATB and the other SATBTTBB, and Gretchaninoff's "Praise the Lord, O My Soul" for two antiphonal choirs, one in eight parts and the other in ten parts - three bass parts and octavo bass - are among the fine examples of their antiphonal writing. Many of these anthems also have solo parts.\(^{[1]}\)

The reader has probably noticed in the illustrations presented thus far that many have no time signature or else the time signatures are changing constantly. In this aspect of composition the Russian choral composer has led the rest of the world. The comparatively recent return to free rhythm in composition in the western world was anticipated in Russian church music by fully one hundred years. A large percentage of the numbers used in reference in this paper have no bar times at all, are barred at irregular intervals, merely to indicate phrasing or accents, or are barred by dotted lines by

\(^{[1]}\) See appendix for list of anthems and voice distribution.
the editors to facilitate direction. In this way the
ever-present possibility of vulgarity resulting from
over-insistent rhythm is avoided completely.

The Russian believes that religion is funda-
mentally a thing of tranquillity and peace. For him the
church must be a source of spiritual calm and beauty and
comfort in the essentially rough world, as most of the
Russian people have always led a hard, physical existence.
For this reason the music of the Russian Church is not
so predominantly rejoicing as much of our western church
music. Most of it is soft, with veiled and subdued
tones, often slow with long, sustained harmonies, and
showing for the most part a penitence coupled with a
consolation for the sorrows of the temporal world. It
is music of strength, but the strength of a mother's arms
rather than that of the soldier's sword. One of its
most striking effects is that of sustained pianissimo
singing in rich full harmonies, perhaps with slow and
sustained tones serving as background for a faster melody
or chant in one section. This must surely be the music
of the church.\(^{(2)}\)

\(^{(2)}\) For examples of this type see the first section of
almost any "Cherubim Song", Kalinnikoff, "In Thee, O Lord,
I Put My Trust", Kastalsky and Tenyakoff, "We Praise Thee",
Rachmaninoff, "Lord's Prayer", etc.
This effect of sustained pianissimo singing accompanying a chant leads to one of the most interesting and most characteristic features of this music, the various treatments of the chants and chant effects by the Russian composers. One approach to this side of the music is particularly evident in "Lord, Have mercy Upon Us" by Gretchaninoff or in Tschaikowsky's "Credo", although many of these compositions make use of it: a chanting by the choir in large chords repeated many times, similar to the Anglican Chant except for the divisi parts and the distinctive chord progressions. The power of such chanting must be heard to be appreciated.

Example 17 (a)

The slow chanting of basses in octaves or in fifths against faster-moving upper parts establishes a depth and solidity to the singing that is typical of the music. In Example 18 we can see the repeated bass octave stabilizing the more fluid counterpoint in the melodies of the three upper voices, while Example 19 demonstrates the ponderous effect of the bass voices in consecutive fifths in the bass below the lighter moving voices in parallel chord lines. In this section,Kalinnikoff's "Come and

Example 18 (57)

Sop. and Alto

The Lord is in His holy temple. Let all...

Bass

The Lord is in His temple. Let

Let Us Return." These consecutive fifths and octaves are repeated in a similar pattern as that found throughout the entire work.

The chant is not always found in one voice part of the chorus, however. One of the best known of the compositions by Alexandre Gretchaninoff, "The Credo", is written for mixed chorus and tenor or alto solo, in which the chanting is done by the soloist in a "pseudo psalm-tone" accompanied by long sustained chords in the choir.

Example 20

(see next page)


(46) Gretchaninof, "Credo", Boston Music Co. edition. (The text in this edition is arranged for the Roman Catholic Church, not the Russian. See "Filioque", Section 1.)
The next illustration in a cantillation from Nikolsky shows how the chant may be brought in in octaves, using two different sections of the choir to double on the count.

Example 21 (42)

Besides this wide use of varying types of choral treatment, there is another vocal treatment that is logi
cal and most unparralleled in the west. Multiple voice-parts are possible when unconventional doubling of parts is the
case, either in the unison, octave, or even the double octave. This doubling of voice-parts makes possible a whole
cat, of new color effects imposible in the (most) four-part vocal score, just as new color effects can be impo- 
sible when orchestral effects can be increased a hundredfold by coupling different instruments together. The trained 
musician will see many possibilities here; a few examples should suffice.

Most obvious here is the doubling of soprano and tenor, or alto and bass, or both, in octaves. Examples of this choral technique are imposible.

Example 22 (43)  
Example 23 (47)

(next page)

A gloriously majestic effect is obtained on another \[ \text{fig.} \] line device that will be mentioned even in the harmony class: the bass and soprano doubling the melody or three octaves apart, with inner parts providing the harmonies as in Example 23. Example 25 expands this idea still further with the melody being presented four times in four different octaves by first soprano, first tenor, second bass, no tenor bass, while the other parts sing on an open fifth. We now illustrate how all the parts on a melody in three octaves can form the tenor or second bass sustaining the harmony.
Other unusual doubling may be found in Lipsky, "The Earth Is the Lord's"; Kalinnikoff, "In Thee, 0 Lord, I Put My Trust"; and Gretchaninoff, "Hymn to the Virgin".

All of the characteristics mentioned so far have been confined wholly to methods of manipulating the voices within their tonalities. But it must be noted that the very tonalities of these works themselves differ from:


most Western choral music. Only now are composers complet-
tely at ease with tonality. The use of the old modes as a basic scale structure in place of our western scales, and the training of the Russian choirs from whom the music was written in the Just Intonation system, independent of the tonal scale we are familiar with, is one cause. The use of the old modes as a basic scale structure in place of our Western major and minor scales, and the training of the Russian choirs from whom the music was written in the Just Intonation system, independent of the tonal scale we are familiar with, is one cause.

One of the most notable differences a listener would notice is the predominance of the supertonic and supertonic-seventh chords and the relative absence of dominant chords. The penchant for tonic cadence so indispensable to the Western composer seems not only dispensable but almost superfluous to the Russian. Perhaps the Russian feels that this dominant-tonic progression is too vigorous for the essentially calm and quiet attitude the church projects for him; at any rate this progression is avoided most consistently, with the dominant chord, when used at all, being more a chord of rest rather than demonstrating its Western agitation toward the tonic. Example 27 illustrates the types of cadences, both internal and final, which replace the dominant-tonic cadence we are used to.
Another effective effect in choral music is a sudden resolution of the many-voiced rich harmony into a simple open fifth or even a unison. One writer has said that this sort of resolution symbolizes the return of all magnificence to the simplest source. In any event such a resolution has a weirdly humbling effect.

Example 20 (r)


(49) Examples from works by Kastalsky, Tschesnokoff, and Tschelishoff.
The use of the open fifth is not confined to the cadences, however, and may be found throughout this music, often in combination with other cadences. One outstanding example of such use of the open fifth may be found in the chanting passages of "The Beatitudes" as set to music by Tschesnokoff.

Many choral works of the Russian Liturgy are based on the modes of the ancient church as discussed in Section IV of this paper, and many more use them in part of the composition. The modes have become more and more predominant with the passing years as this school has become more and more nationalized. The works of Kastalsky, Kalinnikoff, Gretchaninoff, Nikolsky, and Tschesnokoff display particularly this modality. For specific examples, the reader may refer to "The Beatitudes" and "God Of Love, Most Merciful And Gracious" by Kalinnikoff; "O Gladsome Light" and "From My Youth" by Kastalsky; "Only Begotten Son" and "O Be Joyful In The Lord" by Gretchaninoff; "When Israel Went Forth" by Nikolsky; and "The Beatitudes" by Tschesnokoff.

The just intonation practiced by the Russian choirs also must figure in our consideration of tonality in the choral music of the Russian Liturgical Church. Chromatic harmonies and altered chords play on a small
part in this music. In our tempered scale, these extreme colorings are needed for additional variety in our choirs and vocal parts writing, since, unlike the scale line in all slightly out of tune, minimizing the contrast between the natural minor, major, diminished, and augmented intervals. The Russian composer, writing for choirs which have not lost their sense of physical pitch relations by too much keyboard contamination, has these contrasts available to him, and he writes into these scales in mind. In actual practice, he extreme modulations and sensuous chromaticism of much of our western music is not only unnecessary and impractical, but almost impossible for the just intonation choir because of the subtle inflections of pitch it requires. Therefore, within the scale line of his scale and notes, the Russian composer attains all of the tonal contrast he could need, without resort to the altered tone except for a few close modulations.

We can now see that the music of the Russian Orthodox church stands head and shoulders above the choral music of the rest of the world. Conceived in the modal idiom, using the untempered scale, it has expanded the simple four-part chorus into a full orchestra of tonal effects and treatments. A complete new field is open to the critical musician and aural director.
VI

The reason why this page bears only a simple text is to emphasize how far we have come in this book. As the reader who has already reached this point in the book, you should now be wondering why this paragon of the choral family, i.e., music written for the Russian Orthodox Church, is not assailing your ears continually from radio, concert hall, and church. "Is it true that I'm missing out on more of it?" should be your query.

There are many reasons for the comparative absence of this music from the usual haunts of choral music. Before discussing these, I should mention some of the outstanding choral directors and choral organizations of this country are doing just that: these works are sung at every opportunity, and are being so advertised that the public is aware of these works. Only, however, are the obstacles to general acceptance and comprehension in this country.

First of all, we must remember that it is only comparatively recently, within the past thirty or forty years, that this type of music has been given outside Russia. Even now, for example, we find works of compositions written in these years available only in Russia and in limited editions with translated English texts, a reason not more
than a few hundred. Many trained musicians are completely 
ignorant of such a body of sacred literature, and would 
find some of the substantial scores we mentioned to be 
considerably beyond their powers, even if they had the 
experience.

Our next is not the air medium. The most 
unbelievable to be aware in the difficulty of our 
mission. The most natural missionaries of religious music 
would be the innumerable volunteer choirs which are the 
embodiment of our ideals. The volunteers represent a 
spiritual force that must be respected more highly than 
their activities, or lack of them. We are aware that the 
reaction is one of monotony, boredom, or disgust, rather 
than of exaltation or inner peace. The 
Russian church maintained large, well-paid well-
trained choirs, and the composers wrote for them. The 
average church cannot afford to keep such choirs, or 
must depend upon the volunteer singer.

Our average choir, in the first place, is 
desperately dependent on the accompanying piano or organ for 
support. For the full effect, sacred music must be performed not only unaccompanied but with 
intonation, which is almost a ridiculous request here.
Discounting the latter, the removal of the accompaniment is least of all to precipitate the choir's disastrous downfall, even if only in only four parts, and the use of divisi parts in our passage seems to make the task nearly insurmountable. When the choir has finally been trained to sing in the a cappella style, intonation and overall vocal clarity are serious about. The divided voices require that all singers be able to form intervals with other parts easily and not lose their parts in the divisi passages, or a comprehension of their parts in relation to the whole is a must for all singers. The ranges required of all singers are extravagant, with soprano and tenors required to sing in the A or B range quite often, while octaves may be required or a below the bass clef. This is truly a herculean task for an amateur choir or choral society.

Added to the trouble of preparation for performance is success of true appreciation in the listener. As has been mentioned before, one cannot convey the depth of meaning and religious feeling portrayed by these composers in their best work, so an basic work has sold to congregations by repeated presentations and
perseverance of the part of the director. The increasing
enthusiasm and interest in the Russian choral music and the
cultural centers of the country means an of new era.

Next in such work involved is understanding what is
basic as it should be. One in understanding the musical
people the religious and artistic growth available in it.
but the conscientious musician to direct director must
give his choir and people the opportunity to sing it and
love it for themselves as it should be sung and loved.
Once the true musician and churchman sees the music
this chance to speak for itself as an artist never before
satisfied. We are weary with tawdry or sickly-sentimental trash
which is now being sung and played in so many of our
American churches.

Here we must have the real expression of the
sincere man, seeking to become one with his God.
Appendix

The choral works used in research for Section V of this paper were carefully selected from the stock of a large retail music company in Boston, Massachusetts as music that would easily be available in quantity for performance in English translation. The list of those potential anthems follows. The asterisk to the left of any work indicates a composition endowed with many of the nationalized characteristics discussed in the section mentioned above. Each anthem should be considered as written for one more bass part, the characteristic octavo-bass.

Arensky

"Bow Down Thine Ear, O Lord" S.A.T.B - J. Fischer & Bro., N. Y.
"We Praise Thee" S.T.B - J. T. Gray Co., N. Y. (Novello)
(2 different texts to the same music)
"O Praise The Lord of Heaven" S.A.T.B - N. Y. Gray Co., N. Y.

Arkhangelsky

* "Hear my Prayer" S.A.T.B - J. Fischer.
"Incline Thine Ear Oh Lord" S.A.T.B - J. Fischer & Sons, N. Y.
"Nunc Dimittis" S.A.T.B - J. Fischer.
* "O Gladsome Light" (B minor) S.S.A.T.B - J. Fischer.
* "O Gladsome Light" (F minor) S.S.A.T.B - J. Fischer.
* "O Gladsome Light" (A minor) S.A.T.B - J. Fischer.
"Out of the Depths" SATB - M. Witmark.
"We have no other help" SATB - M. Witmark.

"Communion" SATB - M. Witmark.
"In The Lord Both by Soul Rejoice" SATB - J. Fischer.
"O Send Thy Light forth" SATB - J. Fischer.
"Rejoice in the Lord at All Times" SATB - J. Fischer. (Different text to same music as "In The Lord Both" etc.)

"Cherubim Song" in F major SATB - J. Fischer.
"Cherubim Song" in G major SATB - Boston Music Co., Boston, Mass.
"Glory To God" SATB - M. Witmark.
"O blessed Is He" SATB - Neil A. Kjos Music Co., Chicago, Ill.

"O Gladsome light" SATB - J. Fischer.

"Cherubic hymn" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.
"Credo" SATTB plus alto or bar. solo - Bos. Mus. Co.
"Gladsome Radiance" SSAATTBB - H. W. Gray (N). 
"Communion Verse" SSAATTBB (hymn to the Virgin) - Bos. Mus. Co.
"Lord Have Mercy Upon Us" (Hospodi Pornilui) SSAATTBB - G. Schirmer, Inc., N.Y.
"The Lord's Prayer" (A major) SATB plus solo Soprano - G. Schirmer.
"The Lord's Prayer" (Eb major) SSAATTBB - Bos. Mus. Co.
"Magnificat" SSAATTBB - Bos. Mus. Co.
"O Be Joyful In The Lord" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.
"Only Begotten Son" (Hymn of Justinian) SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.
"Praise the Lord, O my Soul" SSAATTBB plus SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.
Ivanoff

"Praise The Name Of The Lord" SATB - J. Fischer.

Ippolitov-Ivanoff

"Cherubim Song" SSATTBB - R. D. How music Co.,
Boston, Mass.
* "Glory Be To God" SSATTBB - J. Fischer.

Kalinnikoff

* "The Beatitudes" SSATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "Come And Let Us Return Unto The Lord" SSATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "God Of Love, Most Merciful And Gracious" SSATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "Lord, I Cry Unto Thee" SSATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "O Loving Saviour" SSATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "To Thee, O Lord, Do I Lift Up My Soul" SATBB - N. A. Kjos.
* "We Worship Thee" SSATTBB - J. Fischer.

Kastalsky

* "From My Youth" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "The Lord's Prayer" SSAA - J. Fischer.
* "O Praise The Name Of The Lord" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "Praise Thou The Lord" SATB - J. Fischer.
* "We Praise Thee" (A major) SSATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "We Praise Thee" (E minor) SSAA - J. Fischer.

Lvoff

"Of Thy Mystical Supper" SATB - J. Fischer.
Kopylof

"Cherubim Song" SATB - Bos. Mus. Co.
"Forever It Is Meet" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.

Nikolsky

"The Earth Is The Lord's" SSAATTBBB - J. Fischer.
"Praise Ye The Name Of The Lord" SSAATTB - Bos. Mus. Co.
"When Israel Went Forth" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.

Pantchenko


Rachmaninoff

"Bless The Lord, O My Soul" SSAATTB plus solo Alto - H. W. Gray (N).
"Blessed Art Thou, 0 Lord" SSAATTBB - H. W. Gray (N).
"Gladsome Radiance" SSAATTBBB plus solo Tenor - H. W. Gray (N).
"Gloria In Excelsis" SSAATTBBB - H. W. Gray (N).
"Laud Ye The Name Of The Lord" SSAATTBBB - H. W. Gray (N).
"The Lord's Prayer" - SATTTBB and SATB - J. Fischer.
"Magnificat" SSAATTBBB - H. W. Gray (N).
"Nunc Dimittis" SSAATTBb plus solo Tenor - H. W. Gray (N).

Rimsky-Korsakoff

"The Bridegroom Cometh" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
"Cherubim Song in F" SATB - J. Fischer.
"The Lord Is Nigh Unto Us All" SATB - J. Fischer.
"Thy Lovely Dwelling Place" SATB - J. Fischer.
Scheremetieff

* "Save And Keep, O Lord" SSAATTBB - H. W. Gray (II).

Schvedoff

* "Only Begotten Son" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.
* "We Have No Other Guide" SSAATTBB - Bos. Mus. Co.
* "We Praise Thee" SSAATTB - Bos. Mus. Co.

Smirnoff

"Cherubim Song" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.

Tcherepnin

* "Cherubim Song" SSAATTBB - E. C. Schirmer Music Co.,
   Boston, Mass.
* "It Is Meet And Right In Truth" SSAATTB - E. C. Schirmer.
* "The Lord Is My Shepherd" SATE - G. Schirmer.
* "Lord, My Heart Is Not Haughty" SSAATTB - G. Schirmer.
* "Praise Ye The Name Of The Lord" SSAATTBB - E. C. Schirmer.
* "Tremble Before The Lord" SSAATTBB - G. Schirmer.
* "We Sing To Thee, O Lord" SSAATTB - E. C. Schirmer.

Tenyakoff

"We Praise Thee" SATB - Bos. Mus. Co.

Tolstykoff

* "The Song Of The Archangel" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.

Tschaikowsky

* "Cherubim Song" in C major SSAATTBB - G. Schirmer.
* "Come, O Blessed Lord" SSAATTBB - J. Fischer.
"Credo" SATB - J. Fischer.
"Forever Worthy Is The Lamb" SATB - Oliver Ditson.
"Hear, Lord Our God, Have Mercy" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
"How Blest Are They" SSAATTB - E. C. Schirmer.
"Hear Our Prayer O God" SSAATTB - A. D. Row.
"The Lord's Prayer" SATB - Oliver Ditson.
"O Come, Let Us Worship" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
"Our Father" (Payer Hoster) SATB - C. Schirmer.

Tschesnokoff

* "Cherubim Song" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.

Tschesnokoff

* "The Beatitudes" SSAATTB plus solo Tenor - J. Fischer.
* "Bless The Lord" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
* "Praise the Name Of The Lord" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
* "No Other Guide Have We" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
* "Praise The Lord Of Heaven" (different text to the "Cherubim Song" in B minor) SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
* "Praise The Name Of The Lord" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
* "The Righteous Shall Be In Everlasting Remembrance" SSAATTB - Clayton F. Summy Co., N. Y.
* "Salvation Is Created" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.
* "Their Voice Is Gone Out" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.

Yesauloff

"Gladsome Light" SSAATTBB - Bo. Mus. Co.
* "Praise The Name Of The Lord" SSAATTB - J. Fischer.

It can be noticed that the works of the most intensely national character group mostly around a few composers, all, or almost all, of whose works fall in this
area. Also one can see that the passage of time had some bearing on this subject, with the later composers falling more and more into the characteristic Russian group. Borodinansky and Aronsky, for example, coming very early in this movement instituted by Glinka, had not then shown such variation from the period of western influence; Tchaikovsky and Bortniansky fell into the Russian style, coming later, developed more of the eastern tradition; and the more closely contemporary composers, Rachmaninoff, Tschetschnoyoff, Grotchaninoff, Kalinnikoff, Kastalsky, and Nikolsky, to name the outstanding ones, have almost wholly hewn to the eastern line of choral composition for the church, music immediately distinguishable from all western choral music.

Of particular interest in this regard is a comparison of the secular and sacred works written by Tschetschnoyoff and Rachmaninoff. The sensuous beauty and emotional appeal of the instrumental works of each of these composers is well known to American concert goers. Even a cursory examination of their church music, however, will demonstrate that such musical treatment had no place there for them. In fact, it is truly difficult to believe that the same composer that wrote "Now dost they" or the C major Cherubim Song could have written
a "Pathétique" Symphony or a B-flat minor Piano Concerto; or that "Blessed Is The Man" or "The Magnificat" or any of the four piano concerti could have been written by the same man. Here is especially a power in religious passion, beyond the comprehension of most of our western composers.
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