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Case study: health ins. law

1. Make selection from 6rd to translated Ch. 4, 5 (esp. outline/RR)

Gender Discrim Law - Min of Soc. Affairs: Tried to end ombudsman, but MoJ objected - negotiated妥协, MoJ gulted remedies - MoJ wants to put w/other laws (3 EU directives/discrim, 1/gender)

Day I - policy - research rep/ translating into law

Day II - Prop comments/draft = assessment

Day I - AM - Michael re law draft (prob solv), Est. stats, 
Swedman's facts, govt/good govt, policy change/Ch. 2 = theory of law as shift of choices - Esthonia = 5 issues:
1. lack capacity; 2. little exp./poor formulation
So, law ed. pertinent to protect ideology?

3. Gov't inst - not suited/cap, pol. dwell - MoJ = loser min. key, but worst approach - Not rel to
civ. soc. — use
1) Connexion to Part: weak — govt. drifts — no "letter" to exp,; Part lacks stuff
4) 30% of EU GDP, unequal wealth/city, stagnant rural areas; Est: pol. choice — defer. soc. pol. for 'growth' so see servs = rudimentary; govt = "neo-liberal" appr. / IMF "loves" Estonia — growth = "pretty good" lost probs / social cohesion — ag & break up collective farms, bout = confused land ownership.

[Russians = 30% (don't mix well = NE, cap.) — one law = on language — sensitive to int'l shd]
Est: trying to enter EU — harmonize laws/EU: take models from EU, e.g. Ger — Ag pol./EU = "common" — little Est. pol.

5) Limited funds: see mrg simple sols, don't cost much
— promote self rel = pragmatic
Cnt: Gov't syst, law drift / Gov't mins, HDI re/nw all data before going to Part — can veto (cf. women's discrim. law) — can't
est. pol. choices = intd in Est. / Ger. model = winner. EU judicial ting proq = Ger. exp. / Ger = very rich st.; not best model

A+B First 45 mins: Law = prob solv / Gov't pol. choices = persuasive
Ch 1, 2 — put on paper — 3-5 Q's for Est. panels
re key info in Est. — i.e. relevant to comm.
Send transparency for translation

Next session = Est. panel / Est. condos = 1) Law sch. 2) eq & education
3) Govt. exp. (put admin. fed. on budgetary aspects of deref. dir. ind. costs of laws)
Need better sec. sci. info into late cu.
12 - Swedish guy - judge: Conan Shulder / expm / law drafts -
with this. Case study / medical ins. law -

3:00 - 3:45 2 yrs of our sec. - part of 1) need for better
out of expert comments - 2) via - on process of
getting stuff out faster - have electronic copy. just implies
- forum. summarize info to

Panel - What needs to be done in Estonia / in a form.

Day 2: Chs. 8, 9, 10, Man:
- Range - comp: ours / Est. exp.
- Finnish expm.
- Comments on draft law / policy changes / draft.

Case St. - Women - dismiss.

Panel -

1) Like to plug into email list -> summarize today's dis... get
more comments.
2) Will send names & who they are.
3) Send Qs/day 1
4) Case studies - why doing them
- Factual age: nec / re health + ins. go, how laws old affect
- how future porous affects potential remedies - role of MoF

Get from us: minor, minor / quality drafty - what is "portable" - i f

- don't have, how get it