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Abstract. Monitoring of cerebral blood flow (CBF) and autoregulation are essential components of neurocritical care, but continuous noninvasive methods for CBF monitoring are lacking. Diffuse correlation spectroscopy (DCS) is a noninvasive diffuse optical modality that measures a CBF index (CBFi) in the cortex microvasculature by monitoring the rapid fluctuations of near-infrared light diffusing through moving red blood cells. We tested the feasibility of monitoring CBF, with DCS in at-risk patients in the Neurosciences Intensive Care Unit. DCS data were acquired continuously for up to 20 h in six patients with aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage, as permitted by clinical care. Mean arterial blood pressure was recorded synchronously, allowing us to derive autoregulation curves and to compute an autoregulation index. The autoregulation curves suggest disrupted cerebral autoregulation in most patients, with the severity of disruption and the limits of preserved autoregulation varying between subjects. Our findings suggest the potential of the DCS modality for noninvasive, long-term monitoring of cerebral perfusion, and autoregulation. © 2018 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE)
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1 Introduction

Cerebral autoregulation (CA) is a protective mechanism of the healthy brain vasculature that maintains relatively constant cerebral perfusion over a broad range of cerebral perfusion pressure (CPP). CA is commonly disrupted following brain injuries, such as stroke, subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), or traumatic brain injury. This impairment complicates the management of brain injured patients, as it can lead to secondary brain insult through ischemic or hyperperfusion mechanisms. Monitoring of cerebral blood flow (CBF) and assessment of CA are, therefore, essential components of neurocritical care.

Traditional modalities for imaging cerebral perfusion, including PET, and MRI, only provide a snapshot of the brain status and not continuous monitoring. Continuous measures of cerebral perfusion afforded by laser Doppler flowmetry, thermal diffusion, focal brain tissue oxygen tension, or intracranial pressure (ICP) as a surrogate for cerebral blood volume (CBV) are all invasive and offer only a focal or local measure. These continuous monitoring modalities have limited clinical practicality in mild and moderate brain injuries, as they require the placement of invasive probes. Additionally, their invasive nature does not afford the possibility of multiregional assessment.

Transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasonography measures the CBF velocity (CBFv) in the main arteries supplying the brain. Due to its noninvasiveness, low-cost, and high temporal resolution, the technique has become a tool of choice for assessing CA in clinical settings. However, it is not always possible to obtain a good TCD signal through the cranial windows, and the technology is not adapted to long recording periods because the transducers can move easily or require cumbersome gear. Finally, the upstream measure of CBFv in major arteries may not reflect regional perfusion in the smaller cerebral vasculature.

Cerebral oximeters based on near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) retrieve relative cerebral oxygen saturation rsO2. But in addition to the indirect measure of perfusion, rsO2 has been proposed as a surrogate for local CBF, and cerebral oximeters have the potential to assess CA continuously in clinical settings. However, the use of rsO2 as a surrogate for CBF relies on many assumptions, such as constant hematocrit, arterial saturation, and cerebral oxygen metabolism, which may not hold true especially in pathological and surgical conditions. Research about NIRS devices that allow measurement of both oxy- and deoxy-hemoglobin changes as an indirect metric for cerebral perfusion has also been proposed to assess CA. But in addition to the indirect measure of perfusion, NIRS in adults generally suffers from the contamination of the signal by extracerebral vasculature reflecting systemic hemodynamics.

In the present study, we tested the feasibility of applying diffuse correlation spectroscopy (DCS) to monitoring CBF and CA in neurocritical care patients. Like NIRS, DCS uses near-infrared light propagating diffusely through the head, but its...
contrast arises from the motion of the light-scattering red blood cells, and it retrieves a blood flow index (BFi) that has been demonstrated to correlate well with gold standard measures of CBF.\textsuperscript{32–35} DCS has the NIRS benefits of nonionizing radiation, noninvasiveness, high temporal resolution (albeit generally lower than NIRS), and high portability and flexibility. In addition to the fact that it provides a direct estimate of CBF, it has been shown to have better brain sensitivity than NIRS.\textsuperscript{36} Therefore, the DCS modality has promising characteristics to overcome some of the limitations of existing clinical modalities described above.

First proposed in 1997,\textsuperscript{37} the technique has seen recent advances in terms of instrumentation,\textsuperscript{38,39} theoretical modeling of the signal,\textsuperscript{40,41} and data analysis,\textsuperscript{32–45} leading to a growing number of applications for human and clinical research.\textsuperscript{46–59} Cheng et al.\textsuperscript{55} first proposed to monitor CA with DCS in healthy subjects, by measuring the phase shift between arterial blood pressure and BFi low-frequency oscillations (LFOs). They found the method to be most robust when enhancing LFOs through head-of-bed tilt or enforced breathing at 0.1 Hz. In the present work, the critical condition of the studied population precluded such physiological manipulations.

Independently of the modality to assess CBF directly or indirectly, note that the complete autoregulation curve, from below the lower limit to beyond the upper limit of CA, or Lassen curve,\textsuperscript{60} is rarely observed clinically because CPP generally does not reach these extreme values spontaneously, and inducing them through maneuvers or pharmaceutical interventions can be unsafe. Instead, clinical monitoring often relies on assessing the autoregulatory response to spontaneous low-frequency fluctuations in blood pressure. Continuous assessment of CA can be derived from “reactivity indices,” computed as moving correlation coefficients between CBF surrogate and the slow waves of CPP, or alternatively mean arterial pressure (MAP).\textsuperscript{15} Different indices have been termed Mx, Mxa, PRx, PAx, TOx, etc. depending on the pressure parameter (CPP or MAP) and the CBF surrogate parameter (CBFv, ICP, rSO₂, etc.) used in its computation. In general, impaired autoregulation is characterized by CBF passively following CPP fluctuations, resulting in high reactivity indices (>0.2 to 0.4),\textsuperscript{15} whereas preserved autoregulation is characterized by low reactivity indices.

Here, we assess the feasibility of DCS to monitor CBF continuously over long periods of time in the challenging environment of the Neurosciences Intensive Care Unit (NeuroICU). We also investigate the possibility to assess CA in response to spontaneous blood pressure fluctuations in neurocritical care patients.

## 2 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Study Design and Patients

We recruited six patients (two males, four females; age range 57 to 66 years old, median 64) admitted to the Massachusetts General Hospital NeuroICU for aneurysmal SAH. Patients were enrolled under two protocols approved by the MGH Institutional Review Board. The patient’s legally authorized representative provided written informed consent before any procedure related to the research study started.

The optical probe was positioned and attached on the patient’s scalp so as not to interfere with clinical monitoring equipment, and DCS data were recorded continuously, and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient info</th>
<th>Optical monitoring (DCS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 1 Clinical and study characteristics of all patients. Sex: M, male; F, female; SAH grade at admission; HH, Hunt and Hess grade; F, Fisher grade; ruptured aneurysm site; MCA, middle cerebral artery; PComm, posterior communicating artery; optical monitoring; SD, source–detector separation.
synchronously to clinically monitor physiological signals. The timing of DCS data acquisition for the patient cohort ranged from postadmission day 2 to 22. Patients were studied on one to three separate days, with recording sessions lasting between 10 min and 20.5 h, as permitted by clinical care. Only data from recording sessions longer than 60 min were included in the analysis and are reported here.

The clinical and study characteristics of all patients are shown in Table 1.

2.2 Diffuse Correlation Spectroscopy Measurements

2.2.1 Diffuse correlation spectroscopy device

Optical data were acquired using a custom-built DCS system, similar to that originally developed by Durduran et al., based on a long coherence-length laser (CrystaLaser, Reno, Nevada) emitting at 785 nm, and four photon-counting avalanche photodiodes (Perkin-Elmer, Québec, Canada) whose counting signals are converted to temporal intensity autocorrelation curves using an eight-channel correlator, or a custom-built field-programmable gate array (FPGA)-based correlator board in one patient. DCS data were acquired at 1 Hz.

2.2.2 Diffuse correlation spectroscopy probes

We designed and built multidistance optical probes targeted to clinical applications. Specifically, we used two types of probes, as shown in Fig. 1: an early version, relatively heavy and rigid, with DCS fibers at two source–detector separations [Fig. 1(a)]; a second, lighter, and more flexible version for DCS-only measurements at up to four separations, three-dimensional printed in a rubber-like material [Fig. 1(b)]. The probes contain the fiber ends and small prisms to provide the contact between the fiber ends and the scalp. Illumination is performed with a 200-μm multimode fiber. A small diffuser is placed between the illumination fiber end and the prism to enlarge the illumination beam [Fig. 1(c)], and enables using higher incident power while remaining within the ANSI standards for safe skin exposure. For the detection, we use single-mode 5-μm diameter fibers. Three fibers were located at the long separation, and the signals from the three detectors were averaged. The short source–detector separation varied between 5 and 9 mm, and the long separation between 20 and 28 mm, depending on DCS signal quality and space availability. The exact values for each recording are shown in Table 1.

2.2.3 Probe placement

For each patient, the DCS probe was placed on the scalp where permitted by other clinical neuromonitoring gear and where the DCS signal was deemed satisfactory by the research staff (photon count over 10,000 per second, autocorrelation curves visually indicative of a physiological signal, with a coherence factor \( \beta \) of at least 0.3, and the tail of the curve reaching \(-1\)). The location was always over fronto-temporal regions, either on the hemisphere ipsilateral or contralateral to any parenchymal hemorrhage. The probe was attached with gauze and a clinical adhesive (collodion). Figures 1(d) and 1(e) show pictures of the first and second probes, respectively, attached on a patient scalp. The photographs also show scalp EEG electrodes, stitches from craniotomy surgery, and an intraventricular ICP catheter.

2.3 Auxiliary Recordings

Simultaneously to the DCS data, we recorded the patient’s physiological signals that were part of their clinical monitoring. In relevance to the present study, these included invasive mean arterial blood pressure (MAP), ICP, CPP, and white matter perfusion from a thermal diffusion invasive probe (Hemedex Inc., Cambridge, Massachusetts) in four patients. However, the recording of white matter perfusion had to be discarded in

![Fig. 1 DCS probes. (a) First probe version. The probe is made of two parts so that the separation can be optimized based on the signal quality. (b) Second DCS-only probe version, with multiple fibers at each detector location. (c) Schematic of the illumination prism with a diffuser enlarging the beam diameter (patent). (d) First probe placed on a patient and attached with gauze and collodion. (e) Second DCS-only probe attached on a patient. (S, source; D, detector).](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Neurophotonics on 05 Sep 2019 Terms of Use: https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/terms-of-use)
two of these patients due to low-signal quality of the measurements. To align the time series of the DCS data and of the physiological data in postprocessing, we sent a transistor-transistor logic pulse train of varying frequencies to the DCS device and to the clinical neuromonitor (Moberg CNS). As proposed in the literature, we computed the cerebrovascular pressure reactivity index PRx\(^{15}\) as the moving Pearson correlation coefficient, over a 5-min window, between 10-s time-averaged values of ICP and MAP.

### 2.4 Data Processing

In brief, we compute a CBF index at each time point, as well as an autoregulation index BFAx. Then, we derive two curves of autoregulation (flow versus pressure and autoregulation index versus pressure) for each recording. The steps are detailed below.

#### 2.4.1 Diffuse correlation spectroscopy data analysis

The autocorrelation curves were averaged using a 10-s moving window to improve the SNR at each time point. Then, the averaged autocorrelation curve at each time point and for each source–detector separation was fitted with the analytical solution of the correlation diffusion equation for a semi-infinite homogenous medium,\(^{37}\) assuming constant optical properties of absorption \(\mu_a = 0.15\ \text{cm}^{-1}\) and reduced scattering \(\mu_s = 10\ \text{cm}^{-1}\) to retrieve a BF\(_i\) at each time point and each separation. All data (BF\(_i\) and auxiliary clinical recordings) were aligned on the same time scale and resampled with a 1-s step. The data were then visually inspected, and segments with strong motion artifacts were manually identified and discarded from further analysis. Relying on the assumption that the measured BF\(_i\) at the long separation BF\(_{i,\text{long}}\) should be higher than that at the short separation BF\(_{i,\text{short}}\) because its sensitivity to the brain is higher and because CBF should be higher than scalp flow,\(^{65}\) in postanalysis we discarded autocorrelation curves for which BF\(_{i,\text{long}} < BF_{i,\text{short}}\). Finally, on the remaining data, a cerebral blood flow index (CBFi) was assessed by subtracting a fixed contribution (70%) of the BF\(_i\) at the short source–detector separation from the BF\(_i\) at the long source–detector separation CBF\(_i = BF_{i,\text{long}} - 0.7 \times BF_{i,\text{short}}\). Because the estimation of this contribution is arbitrary and bound to vary across subjects, we also compared the results when using simply BF\(_{i,\text{long}}\) instead of CBF\(_i\) to verify that the trends remain similar. Finally, the CBF\(_i\) values were normalized by the median over the whole recording, resulting in a time-dynamic relative cerebral blood flow index rCBFi.

#### 2.4.2 Autoregulation index

We computed an autoregulation index, similar to those proposed in the literature:\(^{15}\) first, MAP was smoothed using a 10-s moving window, then we computed the running Pearson correlation coefficient between rCBFi and MAP over a moving 5-min window. We designated this blood flow autoregulation index BFAx to distinguish it from other similar indices described in the literature.

#### 2.4.3 Autoregulation curves

To estimate the autoregulation curves of a patient over the course of each recording, MAP values were divided into groups of 5 mmHg (e.g., \(80 \leq \text{MAP} < 85\ \text{mmHg}\)) and rCBFi was averaged within each group. Using the same approach, the autoregulatory index BFAx was binned in groups of 5-mmHg width of the CPP values to obtain an averaged curve of BFAx versus CPP for each recording. The BFAx versus CPP curves were qualitatively compared with those of PRx versus CPP obtained in the same manner.

#### 2.4.4 Comparison of rCBFi and invasive measure of white matter perfusion

In the two patients for whom an invasive measure of white matter perfusion was available and displayed sufficient quality, we compared it with the DCS measure of rCBFi by computing the correlation coefficient between the two metrics over the duration of the recording. Segments of recordings during which the Hemedex probe is recalibrating were excluded from this analysis.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Signal Quality

The DCS monitoring duration for each recording varied between 2 and 20 h (mean 9 h), with mean of 7 h of data kept in subsequent analysis for each recording. An average of 18% of the data was discarded because of motion artifacts, or in one case because of the probe getting detached.

#### 3.2 Correlation Between rCBFi and Invasive Perfusion Measure

White matter perfusion BF\(_{\text{WM}}\) was measured by an invasive diffusion probe with sufficient data quality in two patients. Figure 2 shows the scatter plots of rCBFi versus BF\(_{\text{WM}}\) for the two patients. In patient 2, the BF\(_{\text{WM}}\) measured by the invasive diffusion probe showed good correlation (correlation coefficient \(R = 0.59\)) with the DCS-derived rCBFi. Instead, in patient 2, there was no correlation between BF\(_{\text{WM}}\) and rCBFi \((R = -0.03)\). Note, however, that when selecting shorter segments of data in this patient, the correlation between the two signals increased significantly. For instance, when considering only the last 4 h of recording (as opposed to the total 16 h), BF\(_{\text{WM}}\) and rCBFi were significantly correlated with a correlation coefficient of \(R = 0.62\).

![Fig. 2 Scatter plots of the DCS-derived relative CBF index versus white matter perfusion measured with the invasive diffusion sensor in two patients. In patient 2, the data points corresponding to the last 4 h of recording are plotted in black.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Neurophotonics)
3.3 Autoregulation Curves

The results of CBFi measurements and of autoregulation indices BFAx and PRx for all subjects are shown in Figs. 3–8. For each figure, multiple rows correspond to different recordings. The first column displays the simultaneous rCBFi, ICP, and CPP time traces over up to 6 h. The second column displays the rCBFi versus MAP curves for that recording (i.e., parts of the static autoregulation curve). The third and fourth columns present the evolution with CPP of the autoregulation indices BFAx and PRx, respectively. In columns 2, 3, and 4, the thick line represents the median value of rCBFi, BFAx, and PRx, respectively, and the colored area shows the interquartile range.

3.3.1 Case study: patient 1

In patient 1 (Fig. 3), the rCBFi versus MAP curve shows a linear relationship with a slope of 2.5% flow increase per mmHg pressure increase. The high slope of the autoregulation curve rCBFi versus MAP suggests impaired autoregulation during this recording. ICP was high for this patient and difficult to manage. This would typically lead to lost autoregulation, in agreement with the rCBFi versus MAP curves.

3.3.2 Case study: patient 2

The two recordings in patient 2 (Fig. 4) were obtained four days apart, with the probe located at a similar location, but the patient being in different clinical conditions. Notably, during the first session the patient showed generalized EEG activity, whereas the EEG activity had normalized during the second session. In the second session, the patient had undergone vasospasm therapy through vasopressor medication. It is, therefore, difficult to interpret the two recordings together. During the first recording, rCBFi presents three behaviors: at low MAP, it shows a strong increase with MAP (3% per mmHg); then, it remains relatively constant over the 85 to 105 mmHg range; and finally, it increases sharply (almost 10% per mmHg) at MAPs over 105 mmHg. The curve suggests a narrow plateau of regulated flow between 85 and 105 mmHg, with the lower and higher limit of autoregulation both being reached during that session.

During the second session, rCBFi is relatively constant over the 85- to 120-mmHg range of MAP values, and it...
increases sharply for MAP above 120 mmHg. The curve suggests improved CA on the second session, which would be consistent with the patient being in a less severe condition in general, after normalization of EEG activity and vasopressor therapy.

For both sessions, the BFAX and the PRX curves present some disparities but overall similar evolution and values, with both indices reaching very high values during the first session (up to 0.6 to 0.7) and slightly lower during the second session (up to 0.5). During the second session, both BFAX and PRX curves present a slight decrease with increasing CPP, showing good agreement with each other. This would, however, suggest better autoregulation at higher CPP, somewhat in contradiction with an increase in the CBFi at high MAP.

Fig. 5 Time series, autoregulatory curves, and autoregulation indices for patient 3. The panels are the same as for Fig. 3.

Fig. 6 Time series, autoregulatory curves, and autoregulation indices for patient 4. The panels are the same as for Fig. 3.

Fig. 7 Time series, autoregulatory curves, and autoregulation indices for patient 5. The panels are the same as for Fig. 3.
3.3.3 Case study: patient 3

Both recordings in patient 3 present similar trends (Fig. 5). The rCBFi and CPP temporal traces appear to be highly correlated, with rCBFi passively following all CPP fluctuations. This is confirmed by the autoregulation curve of the first session, showing a linear relationship between rCBFi and MAP, with a slope >3% rCBFi increase per mmHg. During session 2, there was a sudden drop in ICP of >10 mmHg at about \( t = 4.5 \) h after the start of the recording, and we analyzed the segments before and after the drop separately. In the first segment, rCBFi varies linearly with MAP with a slope of about 2% per mmHg. In the second segment, after ICP has been reduced, the rCBFi versus MAP curve is almost constant with pressure, suggesting retrieved autoregulatory capacity.

The BFAx curves show high values for all recordings, especially the first segment of session 2, with BFAx remaining above 0.6 over the whole CPP range. In contrast, during the second segment, BFAx takes lower values, consistent with the interpretation of some retrieved autoregulation capacity after ICP has been decreased. There is, however, no agreement between the BFAx and the PRx curves for this patient, the latter showing highly fluctuating values with even negative values being reached. Note, however, that a dysfunction of the extra-ventricular drain for this patient complicated ICP management and monitoring.

In this patient, ICP was high during the two sessions reaching values of 20 mmHg and above, even though it dropped below 10 mmHg after 4.5 h of the second recording. This high ICP would typically impair the autoregulatory capacity of the brain, and likely explains the very high BFAx indices we observed. This patient’s condition was severe, including low clinical measures of brain tissue oxygenation, which itself was blood pressure-dependent. This patient died during hospitalization.

3.3.4 Case study: patient 4

In patient 4, the short separation DCS signal had low quality (\( R^2 \) of the autocorrelation curve fit around 0.2), and we, therefore, could not use it to subtract it from the long separation signal. Instead, we simply present the result at the long separation BFiLong (Fig. 6). rBFiLong is relatively constant over the 75 to 95 mmHg range of MAP, then increases for MAP above 95 mmHg (about 2% per mmHg).

This interpretation is also consistent with the PRx index, which is low over the whole range of CPP fluctuations. However, the BFAx over the same range is relatively constant and high (0.3 to 0.4).

3.3.5 Case study: patient 5

The results for patient 5 (Fig. 7) present a different trend than for the other patients, displaying an overall decrease of rCBFi with increasing MAP over the 85- to 125-mmHg range. When looking at the temporal series, it appears that this inverse correlation is due to very slow CPP oscillations (30- to 60-min time scale) of large magnitude, inducing inverted response in rCBFi. The behavior was the same when using BFiLong instead of CBFi and therefore is not an artifact arising from the data processing. Of note, the patient was clinically noted during this time to demonstrate cycles of alternating hyperventilation and hyperventilation, and when examining the BFAx, which focuses on 10-s oscillations, we observe the expected behavior, with a low BFAx (around 0) for CPP in the 80- to 105-mmHg range, which increases slightly to 0.2 for CPP above 110 mmHg. The reactivity index PRx presents the same behavior, with overall low values (around 0) and an increase for CPP values above 110 mmHg. We propose a few hypotheses for this paradoxical behavior of CBFi versus MAP in the discussion section below.

3.3.6 Case study: patient 6

In patient 6, rCBFi increases sharply with MAP during the two recordings with a slope higher than 3% per mmHg.

In agreement to the rCBFi linear dependency on MAP, BFAx appears very high (0.5) for both recordings. The PRx curves also support the same interpretation and are in good agreement with BFAx. These combined results suggest impaired autoregulation over the whole range of CPP.

During the first session, the patient presented high ICP (about 20 mmHg), which can explain the loss of autoregulation we observe from the CBFi and BFAx measures. The patient had improved on the second session and had lower ICP.
3.3.7 Overview of results

There is a large variability in the observed autoregulatory behaviors across subjects and even across days for the same patient. This is expected for this heterogeneous population and in these very acute situations. We can, however, identify some common features across recordings and patients. Except for patient 5, there is a linear relationship between CBFi and MAP with a positive slope, suggestive of impaired autoregulation. Autoregulation appears to be more preserved around 90 to 110 mmHg in most patients, as illustrated by a constant CBFi or a lower slope of the CBFi versus MAP relationship in that range. The exact range of MAP and the quality of observed regulation vary between patients. In all subjects, the results are consistent with those using BFI\textsubscript{long} (data not shown) instead of CBFi, i.e., without subtracting a scalp component. The derived autoregulation index BFAX are compared with the PRx index reported in the literature. The behaviors of BFAX and PRx are only consistent in 5 out of 10 recordings.

4 Discussion

4.1 Feasibility of Measurements

The first goal of our study was to assess feasibility of long-term monitoring with DCS in neurocritical care patients. We could monitor patients with good signal quality for up to 16 h continuously. Measurements in this challenging population are complicated by multiple factors: heterogeneity of the pathology and severity across patients; presence of invasive and noninvasive neuromonitoring devices on the scalp limiting the space available for DCS measurements; deterioration of the DCS signal potentially arising from craniotomy, stitches, invasive bolt, edema, or extra-axial blood; and imaging exams or clinical care resulting in displacement of the probe. For these reasons, it was not possible in this pilot study to control for all parameters, and our measurement conditions present high variability across patients, such as day after admission, number and duration of recordings, location of the DCS probe, and exact source-detector separations.

Nonetheless, these first measurements allowed us to demonstrate the feasibility of DCS monitoring in the NeuroICU and to identify areas of improvements. For instance, we developed during the study a new probe, smaller, lighter, and more flexible, and therefore more adequate for this population.

4.2 Interpretation of rCBF, and Autoregulation Curves

Due to the limitation of the multidistance frequency-domain method in the presence of a thick superficial layer and the constraints of space on the head, we did not attempt to measure the absolute optical properties of the head with our frequency-domain system.\textsuperscript{66, 74} Instead, we assumed fixed optical properties and used a semi-infinite homogeneous model for the head anatomy. We, therefore, cannot trust the absolute values of CBF that we retrieve or compare them across subjects. For these reasons, we limited our analysis on an individual patient basis to relative blood flow changes that are independent from tissue optical properties.

The retrieved autoregulation curves that pass our quality criteria are physiologically plausible, showing linear dependency of flow versus pressure at low (<90 mmHg) MAP, and reaching a plateau of regulated flow at intermediate pressure (90 to 110 mmHg) in some patients.

The transition between the regions of preserved or impaired autoregulation occurs at a different perfusion pressure for each patient. This high variability in the results is not surprising considering the heterogeneity of the patients and measuring conditions.

Our data analysis relies on the assumption that autoregulation remains unchanged over the whole duration of each recording so that we can group all data points to derive a static autoregulation curve. However, it is possible that this assumption does not hold true, and that the autoregulatory capacity of each patient in this severely injured population varies dynamically over time.\textsuperscript{74} As future studies pair these data with clinical conditions or assess the impact of interventions, we note that the minimal duration necessary to achieve an autoregulatory will relate to how dynamic the range in CBF is; a restricted range of CBF will only minimally explore the autoregulatory capacity.

The second underlying assumption in interpreting our results is that we are monitoring the global autoregulatory capacity of the brain. In 4 out of 6 patients, the DCS probe was located on the hemisphere contralateral to the main injury, whereas in two patients, it was placed on the ipsilateral side. Available space around clinical neuromonitoring equipment on the scalp as well as DCS signal quality dictated these choices. As we are looking at a single location with a sensitivity limited to a few cubic centimeters below the probe, it is possible that multiregional or bihemispheric measurements would reveal regional heterogeneity in the autoregulation or metabolism of the brain, often a result of the heterogeneity of vasospasms, epileptiform and seizure activity, or cortical spreading depolarizations following SAH.\textsuperscript{72–75} Further studies monitoring different regions of the brain with additional DCS channels would be required to address this issue. Note, however, that, except for EEG, most neuromonitoring modalities only provide a global (ICP) or on the contrary a very local (laser Doppler flowmetry, brain tissue oxygen tension) surrogate of CBF, or at best pauciregional measurement (TCD, cerebral oximeter). We, therefore, believe that DCS has its space in the neuromonitoring arena to complement these other modalities, by providing a noninvasive, multiregional, and continuous measure of blood flow.

4.3 Validation of rCBF, and Autoregulation Curves with Other Modalities

Despite the plausible interpretation of the autoregulation curves, the first main limitation of the present study at this stage is that we cannot validate these physiological interpretations as there is no standard measure of CBF or autoregulation in these patients. The closest measure of CBF that was available in two patients was white matter perfusion BF\textsubscript{WM} assessed with an invasive diffusion sensor. However, BF\textsubscript{WM} measurements are not always reliable as they are very sensitive to placement and require calibration. In one patient, BF\textsubscript{WM} and rCBF\textsubscript{i} were not correlated when considering the whole monitoring period. The two signals presented better correlation over shorter segments (4 h). It is possible that the low correlation over the whole recording arises from sudden offsets in the signals or from a significant clinical change mid-recording. In addition, the two devices measure two different parameters often in different locations. With these caveats in mind, the observed significant correlation between the invasive measure of perfusion and the DCS-derived CBF\textsubscript{i}, on
patient 3 during the whole recordings, and in patient 2 over a few hours, provides some confidence in the noninvasive measure of CBF we obtain with DCS.

4.4 Extra-Cerebral Contamination

The second major limitation of our study is the unknown magnitude of the extra-cerebral contribution to the DCS signal. The issue is particularly challenging in the NeuroICU population as all patients were severely injured and therefore susceptible to strongly impaired CA. It complicates the interpretation of the lack of autoregulation we observed in some patients: it could arise from partial contamination of the signal by scalp flow, which is not regulated, or it could be a true measure of severely disrupted autoregulation in the brain.

Different methodologies have been proposed to assess the contribution from extracerebral flow, including measurements at different pressures to modulate scalp flow, and/or multi-layer modeling of the head. Here, with the limited information available, we opted for a simple estimate of CBF, obtained by subtracting a fixed portion (70%) of the BFV at the short separation from that at the long separation. This is a rough approximation, and the method is likely to over- or under-estimate the scalp contribution depending on individual patient’s anatomy and source–detector separation. Further measurements and modeling studies will be required to improve the quantification of CBF and the minimization of extra-cerebral flow.

In parallel, different paths are conceivable in the future to improve brain sensitivity during clinical monitoring: increased source–detector separation with higher source power to maintain reasonable signal-to-noise ratio; optimization of the DCS probe location on an individual basis after observation of the patient’s CT or MRI scan to minimize the distance to the brain; implementation of time-domain DCS, a recent technological innovation with improved depth sensitivity.

4.5 Consistency Between rCBFi and BFAx

We introduced the BFAx index of autoregulation to characterize the correlation between MAP and CBFi fluctuations. It is modeled on other indices defined in the literature, which have shown utility for monitoring CA in SAH patients. For instance, Soehle et al. showed increased Mx during cerebral vasospasm, demonstrating impaired CA. Jaeger et al. observed that ORx, an index of brain tissue oxygen pressure reactivity, indicated impaired autoregulation in patients who develop delayed infarction after SAH.

In general, the observed results for the rCBFi and the BFax curves are consistent, in that a high slope of rCBFi versus MAP is accompanied by a high reactivity index, illustrative of disrupted autoregulation, whereas regions of mainly constant rCBFi correspond to low BFax, suggestive of preserved autoregulation. There are a few exceptions though, notably in patient 5, who exhibits an inverted response in CBF that decreases for increasing CPP while the BFax curves present the expected behavior. As mentioned before, it is interesting to note that the inverted response arises from very slow fluctuations (30 to 60 min) while the value of the reactivity index arises from faster LFOs (10 s). The reason for the paradoxical response in rCBF at very low frequencies is unclear, but the clinical association of this finding was with a cyclic variation in respiratory rate (recurring cycles of hyperventilation followed by hypoventilation), in which the hyperventilatory portion of the cycle is typically associated with increased arousal (Cheyne–Stoke respiratory pattern) and thus may increase MAP while the hyperventilation would directly lower CBF. In general, a continuous index of autoregulation based on 10 s time-scale oscillations may be more appropriate than the static curve of autoregulation to describe the fluctuations of autoregulatory capacity in these patients.

While both the reactivity index PRx and this newly introduced autoregulation index BFax should reflect the autoregulatory capacity of the brain, the agreement between the two is only modest in the present study, with only 5 recordings out of 10 displaying consistent behaviors between the two indices. The disagreement between the two indices could arise from a number of reasons. First, it is important to note that they reflect two different cerebral metrics: CBF, which is believed to reflect CBV, and the DCS-derived measure of CBF. Second, while PRx assesses a global vasomotor reactivity, BFax reflects a regional vascular autoregulation. Previous studies have noted discrepancies between PRx and the mean index of cerebrovascular autoregulation Mx, derived from TCD measure of CBFv. In a cohort of 345 patients, there was only moderate agreement between PRx and Mx, and the difference between the two indices was stronger for high values of ICP (30 mmHg). One explanation proposed by Zweifel et al. is that the brain compliance at low ICP levels could buffer CBV changes, resulting in a low PRx, whereas for ICP rising above 30 mmHg, PRx increases sharply. While ICP was generally high in the patients we measured, it rarely rose above 20 mmHg. In addition, Zweifel et al. noted that despite the differences between PRx and Mx, the two indices nonetheless showed a highly significant correlation of 0.4 to 0.6. We observe larger differences in our data.

In addition to the fact that PRx and BFax derive from distinct physiological quantities (CBV or vessel diameter, versus CBF), and reflect processes of different spatial extents (global versus regional), other factors can explain the discrepancy between the two indices. For instance, potential delays between CPP and ICP or CBF fluctuations will not be captured by the zero-lag correlation indices. Finally, the measure of ICP can sometimes be unreliable due to the difficulty of ventricular drain placement, as observed for instance in patient 3.

Because of these limitations, the BFax index will require further investigation in larger studies, ideally with a simultaneous invasive measure of cerebral perfusion for validation. It may provide a future avenue for monitoring autoregulation both continuously and noninvasively in patients with less severe conditions.

5 Conclusion

In this study, our main goal was to demonstrate the feasibility of long-term monitoring of CBF and autoregulation with DCS in high-risk NeuroICU patients. We acquired quality data continuously for up to 16 h in each patient. The DCS measure of blood flow index was recorded synchronously with MAP clinical monitoring, allowing us to derive autoregulation curves and to compute an autoregulation index BFax. In general, our data suggest that the monitored patients had severely disrupted CA. Further measurements and data modeling are required to improve our physiological interpretation and to validate our conclusions.

To our knowledge, this is the first study demonstrating long-term monitoring of SAH patients with DCS. The modality offers
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a promising opportunity for continuous, noninvasive, regional monitoring of CBF in neurocritical care population, with the potential to be extended to multilocation measurements. The noninvasive measure of CBF would allow ubiquitous monitoring of patients with a range of injury severity, and down-the-road could enable subject-specific pressure management strategies to directly optimize brain perfusion rather than surrogate metrics.
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