

Discussion notes on Development workshop

<https://hdl.handle.net/2144/34852>

"Downloaded from OpenBU. Boston University's institutional repository."

Disc. w. David = 2 important inputs to our workshop

Need for research test hypothesis

① Laws = legal res. leg programs - in 3 areas:

① Policy form

a) Note: draft policy / farm lab → need to critique / how translate into ed

Corp II: pot. stnt/mktg → disc. it in terms of effect of 2 pol. exercised → direct dis. use

Corp III: fin. = some disc / RBSA, but = brdr issue

② Writing to help coordinate future work

a) Need res. / test hypothesis: - ie really use ROCRPI = research agenda: possible exps.

= 3 kinds of research:

a) re rules/legal order that now exists

b) re background of difficulty: survey data / nat'l, reg'l → impact on rural pop.

c) re how existing insts affect pop = participatory

∴ as work out detailed memo of law / specific bill = how might restructure res / pot → legislation

b) ~~Sete Relation~~ Your role as researchers - how might ~~carry on their~~ ^{contribute to} complete w/ res.

c) Follow-up wkshp - w. ~~for~~ consultants / for law & exper. / each of 3 areas

d) identification of 2 facilitators / ~~two~~ ^{leg. prog.} area who wld go to BU → 4 mo. prog. (taking resp't & exps / SA → compare to for. law & exper. + ii) using bill as 'bicycle'; ~~how to~~ learn ~~the~~ more abt how to facilitate participatory leg. drafting process.

Discussion - Linda: LAPE will coordinate → need to get ^{Prm} nom. incls for steering comm.

Mmakoshi: How do follow-up research?

Marga: If David ~~ident~~ coords / related to other orgs. →

Jennie: Looking to coordinate process = how?

Marga: Can draw in people from our orgs as well -

